

SENATE JOURNAL

STATE OF ILLINOIS

NINETY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

71ST LEGISLATIVE DAY

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2002

9:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

No. 71  
[Feb. 22, 2002]

The Senate met pursuant to adjournment.  
 Honorable James "Pate" Philip, Wood Dale, Illinois, presiding.  
 Prayer by Pastor Jeff Nelsen, Cherry Hills Baptist Church,  
 Springfield, Illinois.  
 Senator Radogno led the Senate in the Pledge of Allegiance.

The Journal of Wednesday, February 20, 2002, was being read when on motion of Senator Myers further reading of same was dispensed with and unless some Senator had corrections to offer, the Journal would stand approved. No corrections being offered, the Journal was ordered to stand approved.

The Journal of Thursday, February 21, 2002, was being read when on motion of Senator Myers further reading of same was dispensed with and unless some Senator had corrections to offer, the Journal would stand approved. No corrections being offered, the Journal was ordered to stand approved.

#### REPORT RECEIVED

The Secretary placed before the Senate the following report:

The Remapping Task Force Report submitted by the Department on Aging as mandated by Senate Resolution #135.

The foregoing report was ordered received and placed on file in the Secretary's Office.

#### REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES

Senator Cronin, Chairperson of the Committee on Education to which was referred Senate Bill No. 1524 reported the same back with amendments having been adopted thereto, with the recommendation that the bill, as amended, do pass.

Under the rules, the bill was ordered to a second reading.

Senator Hawkinson, Chairperson of the Committee on Judiciary to which was referred the following Senate floor amendment, reported that the Committee recommends that it be approved for consideration:

##### Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2058

Under the rules, the foregoing floor amendment is eligible for consideration on second reading.

Senator Parker, Chairperson of the Committee on Transportation to which was referred Senate Bill No. 1588 reported the same back with the recommendation that the bill do pass.

Under the rules, the bill was ordered to a second reading.

Senator Parker, Chairperson of the Committee on Transportation to which was referred Senate Bills numbered 1550 and 1624 reported the same back with amendments having been adopted thereto, with the recommendation that the bills, as amended, do pass.

Under the rules, the bills were ordered to a second reading.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

[Feb. 22, 2002]

A message from the House by  
Mr. Rossi, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has accepted the Governor's specific recommendations for change, which are attached, to a bill of the following title, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 3247

A bill for AN ACT in relation to certain land.

I am further instructed to deliver to you the objections of the Governor which are contained in the attached copy of his letter to the House of Representatives:

Adopted by the House, February 21, 2002.

ANTHONY D. ROSSI, Clerk of the House

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 3247 in manner and form as follows:

AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 3247

IN ACCEPTANCE OF GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS

Amend House Bill 3247 on page 74, immediately below line 30, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 77, immediately below line 32, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 79, line 2, by replacing "100" with "10"; and

on page 79, line 3, by replacing "east" with "west"; and

on page 79, immediately below line 4, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 80, immediately below line 4, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 83, immediately below line 23, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 84, immediately below line 26, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 104, by deleting lines 28 through 32; and

on page 105, by deleting lines 1 through 21.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

[Feb. 22, 2002]

SPRINGFIELD, 62706

GEORGE H. RYAN  
GOVERNOR

February 8, 2002

To the Honorable Members of the  
Illinois House of Representatives  
92nd General Assembly

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Governor by Article IV, Section 9(e) of the Illinois Constitution of 1970, and re-affirmed by the People of the State of Illinois by popular referendum in 1974, and conforming to the standard articulated by the Illinois Supreme Court in People ex Rel. Klinger v. Howlett, 50 Ill. 2d 242 (1972), Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Co. v. Zagel, 78 Ill. 2d 387 (1979), People ex Rel. City of Canton v. Crouch, 79 Ill. 2d 356 (1980), and County of Kane v. Carlson, 116 Ill. 2d 186 (1987), that gubernatorial action be consistent with the fundamental purposes and the intent of the bill, I hereby return House Bill 3247 entitled "AN ACT in relation to certain land," with my specific recommendations for change.

House Bill 3247 proposes to release easements, restore access rights and convey interest in certain described in Illinois for the Illinois Department of Transportation. It also proposes property transfers for the Illinois Attorney General, the Department of Central Management Services, the Department of Corrections and the Department of Natural Resources. It also would allow the Cook County Forest Preserve to transfer certain excess property and allow the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District to annex additional property.

With respect to one parcel under the control of the Department of Transportation House Bill 3247 contains an inaccurate legal description in Section 985. The legal description included in the bill for the 'Ariston Cafe' is for property already owned by the cafe, not the excess property that they are seeking to annex. The sponsors of this conveyance agree it should be removed from the bill.

Concerns have been raised recently regarding the conveyance of parcels of recreational open space and wetlands adjacent to the Illinois Youth Center from the Department of Corrections to the cities of St. Charles and Geneva. Some have questioned why the State would convey these large parcels of land at not cost while in the midst of declining state revenues. They argue that the State instead should consider selling this open space to help solve the budget shortfall.

The fact is these hundreds of acres of open space would have a value of \$16 million to \$20 million only if it were sold to developers, thereby depriving area residents of vital recreational land they have enjoyed for 30 years. It also should be noted that over 100 years ago community residents donated this land to the State; therefore, it is reasonable for these communities to expect that they should not be required to buy back surplus parcels the State does not need.

My Administration has helped preserve more than 68,000 acres of land for public use, a record amount that eclipses the 38,000 acres preserved during the previous eight years. My \$200 million Open Land Trust program is unparalleled in the history of Illinois in preserving some 42,000 acres of open space, parkland, natural areas and recreational trails for the public trust. The ongoing Conservation 2000 program, which is designed to preserve and enhance wildlife habitats and to increase recreational facilities, has protected more than 21,000 acres since I took office. Our efforts also include the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program, a \$500 million, multi-year federal-state program to reduce erosion and

[Feb. 22, 2002]

restore wetlands and habitats in areas like the Illinois River. Since 1999, the CREP program has restored more than 115,000 acres and permanently protected more than 15,000 acres of floodplain.

Given the great strides we have made in preserving open space in Illinois over the past three years, I will not agree with the short-sighted notion that we try to help solve today's temporary budget shortfall by resorting to the permanent loss of this vast acreage of open space.

Finally, while the local recipients of these conveyances have agreed to hold the land in the public trust, I believe the State should require this in the law as a condition of conveyances. Therefore, I recommend that language be added to the bill causing the land to revert to State ownership if the local owners fail in the future to abide by their commitment.

For these reasons, I hereby return House Bill 3247 with the following specific recommendations for change:

On page 74, after line 30, insert:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

On page 77, after line 32, insert:

Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

On page 79, line 2, delete "100" and replace it with "10"; and

On page 79, line 3, delete "east", and replace it with "west";

and

On page 79, after line 4, insert:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

On page 80, after line 4, insert:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

On page 83, after line 23, insert:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

On page 84, after line 26, insert:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

On page 104, delete lines 28 through 32; and

On page 105, delete lines 1 through 21.

With these changes, House Bill 3247 will have my approval. I respectfully request your concurrence.

Sincerely,  
s/GEORGE H. RYAN  
Governor

By direction of the President, the bill reported on the foregoing veto message was placed on the Senate Calendar for Tuesday, February 26, 2002.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

A message from the House by  
 Mr. Rossi, Clerk:  
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed a bill of the following title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 3771  
 A bill for AN ACT concerning townships.

Passed the House, February 21, 2002.  
 ANTHONY D. ROSSI, Clerk of the House

The foregoing House Bill No. 3771 was taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by  
 Mr. Rossi, Clerk:  
 Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has acceded to the request of the Senate for a First Committee of Conference to consider the differences between the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments numbered 1 and 2 to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 2207  
 A bill for AN ACT concerning mortgages.  
 I am further directed to inform the Senate that the Speaker of the House has appointed as such committee on the part of the House: Representatives Bugielski, Currie, Burke; Tenhouse and Meyer.

Action taken by the House, February 21, 2002.  
 ANTHONY D. ROSSI, Clerk of the House

#### EXCUSED FROM ATTENDANCE

On motion of Senator Demuzio, Senator Bowles was excused from attendance due to illness.

On motion of Senator Demuzio, Senator Viverito was excused from attendance due to legislative business.

#### PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 335  
 Offered by Senator Roskam and all Senators:  
 Mourns the death of Margaret Estella Coombs, formerly of Winfield.

The foregoing resolution was referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

Senators Hendon and Trotter offered the following Senate Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Rules:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 336  
 WHEREAS, Quality patient medical care includes access to all FDA-approved prescription medicines; and  
 WHEREAS, New medicines are being developed and approved to treat

[Feb. 22, 2002]

diseases that were not treatable or were poorly treated in the past, such as medicines for high blood pressure (11 medicines in development), Alzheimer's Disease (21 medicines in development) and Prostate Cancer (5 medicines in development); and

WHEREAS, Many new medicines are being developed for diseases and conditions that uniquely affect populations most likely to lack health insurance or be enrolled in Medicaid, including the elderly (18 medicines in development for heart failure) and the disabled (22 medicines in development for rheumatoid arthritis); and

WHEREAS, Some patient populations may face greater risks from low-quality medical care for specific diseases and conditions, such as African Americans who are more likely than whites to develop serious complications from high blood pressure or less aggressive treatment for cancer; prior authorization or other limitations on quality health care may aggravate these poor medical outcomes by preventing access to the best quality medical care, including prescription drugs; and

WHEREAS, Many people without health insurance or enrolled in Medicaid have limited health literacy which in turn limits their ability to recognize and argue for quality medical care, including the drugs their health care providers recommend; and

WHEREAS, Prior authorization and other limitations that interfere with health care providers' choices for medical treatments discourage doctors, nurses, and other health care providers from serving the Medicaid population; and

WHEREAS, State agencies may select preferred drugs that do not require prior approval based on the medicines' effectiveness within the general population without regard to their effectiveness for specific sub-populations, such as African Americans or Hispanic Americans; and

WHEREAS, The uninsured and Medicaid patients may be discouraged from beginning, or continuing, the recommended treatment process by delays in treatments that occur when health care providers must navigate the Medicaid or other State prior approval and appeal procedures; and

WHEREAS, Treatment delays while providers obtain required approvals also add to the concerns of patients and their families that they are receiving "second class medical care"; therefore, be it

RESOLVED BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we support legislation to ensure access to quality patient prescription drug and other health care by recognizing the central role of the patient's health care provider in the selection of medicines and other medical treatment options.

At the hour of 9:36 o'clock a.m., Senator Dudycz presiding.

#### HOUSE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Roskam, House Bill No. 2058 was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Floor Amendment No. 1 was tabled pursuant to Senate Rule 5-4a.

Senator Roskam offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 2

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend House Bill 2058 as follows:

by replacing the title with the following:

"AN ACT in relation to terrorism."; and

by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

[Feb. 22, 2002]

"Section 5. The Solicitation for Charity Act is amended by adding Section 16.5 as follows:

(225 ILCS 460/16.5 new)

Sec. 16.5. Terrorist acts.

(a) Any person or organization subject to registration under this Act, who knowingly acts to further, directly or indirectly, or knowingly uses charitable assets to conduct or further, directly or indirectly, an act or actions as set forth in Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, is thereby engaged in an act or actions contrary to public policy and antithetical to charity, and all of the funds, assets, and records of the person or organization shall be subject to temporary and permanent injunction from use or expenditure and the appointment of a temporary and permanent receiver to take possession of all of the assets and related records.

(b) An ex parte action may be commenced by the Attorney General, and, upon a showing of probable cause of a violation of this Section or Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, an immediate seizure of books and records by the Attorney General by and through his or her assistants or investigators or the Department of State Police and freezing of all assets shall be made by order of a court to protect the public, protect the assets, and allow a full review of the records.

(c) Upon a finding by a court after a hearing that a person or organization has acted or is in violation of this Section, the person or organization shall be permanently enjoined from soliciting funds from the public, holding charitable funds, or acting as a trustee or fiduciary within Illinois. Upon a finding of violation all assets and funds held by the person or organization shall be forfeited to the People of the State of Illinois or otherwise ordered by the court to be accounted for and marshaled and then delivered to charitable causes and uses within the State of Illinois by court order.

(d) A determination under this Section may be made by any court separate and apart from any criminal proceedings and the standard of proof shall be that for civil proceedings.

(e) Any knowing use of charitable assets to conduct or further, directly or indirectly, an act or actions set forth in Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961 shall be a misuse of charitable assets and breach of fiduciary duty relative to all other Sections of this Act.

Section 10. The Firearm Owners Identification Card Act is amended by changing Sections 4 and 8 as follows:

(430 ILCS 65/4) (from Ch. 38, par. 83-4)

Sec. 4. (a) Each applicant for a Firearm Owner's Identification Card must:

(1) Make application on blank forms prepared and furnished at convenient locations throughout the State by the Department of State Police, or by electronic means, if and when made available by the Department of State Police; and

(2) Submit evidence to the Department of State Police that:

(i) He or she is 21 years of age or over, or if he or she is under 21 years of age that he or she has the written consent of his or her parent or legal guardian to possess and acquire firearms and firearm ammunition and that he or she has never been convicted of a misdemeanor other than a traffic offense or adjudged delinquent, provided, however, that such parent or legal guardian is not an individual prohibited from having a Firearm Owner's Identification Card and files an affidavit with the Department as prescribed by the Department stating that he or she is not an individual prohibited from having a Card;

(ii) He or she has not been convicted of a felony

[Feb. 22, 2002]



under the laws of this or any other jurisdiction;

(iii) He or she is not addicted to narcotics;

(iv) He or she has not been a patient in a mental institution within the past 5 years;

(v) He or she is not mentally retarded;

(vi) He or she is not an alien who is unlawfully present in the United States under the laws of the United States;

(vii) He or she is not subject to an existing order of protection prohibiting him or her from possessing a firearm;

(viii) He or she has not been convicted within the past 5 years of battery, assault, aggravated assault, violation of an order of protection, or a substantially similar offense in another jurisdiction, in which a firearm was used or possessed;

(ix) He or she has not been convicted of domestic battery or a substantially similar offense in another jurisdiction committed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1997; and

(x) He or she has not been convicted within the past 5 years of domestic battery or a substantially similar offense in another jurisdiction committed before the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1997; and

(xi) He or she is not an alien who has been admitted to the United States under a non-immigrant visa (as that term is defined in Section 101(a)(26) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(26))), or that he or she is an alien who has been lawfully admitted to the United States under a non-immigrant visa if that alien is:

(1) admitted to the United States for lawful hunting or sporting purposes;

(2) an official representative of a foreign government who is:

(A) accredited to the United States Government or the Government's mission to an international organization having its headquarters in the United States; or

(B) en route to or from another country to which that alien is accredited;

(3) an official of a foreign government or distinguished foreign visitor who has been so designated by the Department of State;

(4) a foreign law enforcement officer of a friendly foreign government entering the United States on official business; or

(5) one who has received a waiver from the Attorney General of the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 922(y)(3); and

(3) Upon request by the Department of State Police, sign a release on a form prescribed by the Department of State Police waiving any right to confidentiality and requesting the disclosure to the Department of State Police of limited mental health institution admission information from another state, the District of Columbia, any other territory of the United States, or a foreign nation concerning the applicant for the sole purpose of determining whether the applicant is or was a patient in a mental health institution and disqualified because of that status from receiving a Firearm Owner's Identification Card. No mental health care or treatment records may be requested. The information received shall be destroyed within one year of

[Feb. 22, 2002]

receipt.

(a-5) Each applicant for a Firearm Owner's Identification Card who is over the age of 18 shall furnish to the Department of State Police either his or her driver's license number or Illinois Identification Card number.

(b) Each application form shall include the following statement printed in bold type: "Warning: Entering false information on an application for a Firearm Owner's Identification Card is punishable as a Class 2 felony in accordance with subsection (d-5) of Section 14 of the Firearm Owners Identification Card Act.".

(c) Upon such written consent, pursuant to Section 4, paragraph (a) (2) (i), the parent or legal guardian giving the consent shall be liable for any damages resulting from the applicant's use of firearms or firearm ammunition.

(Source: P.A. 91-514, eff. 1-1-00; 91-694, eff. 4-13-00; 92-442, eff. 8-17-01.)

(430 ILCS 65/8) (from Ch. 38, par. 83-8)

Sec. 8. The Department of State Police has authority to deny an application for or to revoke and seize a Firearm Owner's Identification Card previously issued under this Act only if the Department finds that the applicant or the person to whom such card was issued is or was at the time of issuance:

(a) A person under 21 years of age who has been convicted of a misdemeanor other than a traffic offense or adjudged delinquent;

(b) A person under 21 years of age who does not have the written consent of his parent or guardian to acquire and possess firearms and firearm ammunition, or whose parent or guardian has revoked such written consent, or where such parent or guardian does not qualify to have a Firearm Owner's Identification Card;

(c) A person convicted of a felony under the laws of this or any other jurisdiction;

(d) A person addicted to narcotics;

(e) A person who has been a patient of a mental institution within the past 5 years;

(f) A person whose mental condition is of such a nature that it poses a clear and present danger to the applicant, any other person or persons or the community;

For the purposes of this Section, "mental condition" means a state of mind manifested by violent, suicidal, threatening or assaultive behavior.

(g) A person who is mentally retarded;

(h) A person who intentionally makes a false statement in the Firearm Owner's Identification Card application;

(i) An alien who is unlawfully present in the United States under the laws of the United States;

(i-5) An alien who has been admitted to the United States under a non-immigrant visa (as that term is defined in Section 101(a)(26) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(26))), except that this subsection (i-5) does not apply to any alien who has been lawfully admitted to the United States under a non-immigrant visa if that alien is:

(1) admitted to the United States for lawful hunting or sporting purposes;

(2) an official representative of a foreign government who is:

(A) accredited to the United States Government or the Government's mission to an international organization having its headquarters in the United States; or

(B) en route to or from another country to which that alien is accredited;

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(3) an official of a foreign government or distinguished foreign visitor who has been so designated by the Department of State;

(4) a foreign law enforcement officer of a friendly foreign government entering the United States on official business; or

(5) one who has received a waiver from the Attorney General of the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 922(y)(3);

(j) A person who is subject to an existing order of protection prohibiting him or her from possessing a firearm;

(k) A person who has been convicted within the past 5 years of battery, assault, aggravated assault, violation of an order of protection, or a substantially similar offense in another jurisdiction, in which a firearm was used or possessed;

(l) A person who has been convicted of domestic battery or a substantially similar offense in another jurisdiction committed on or after January 1, 1998;

(m) A person who has been convicted within the past 5 years of domestic battery or a substantially similar offense in another jurisdiction committed before January 1, 1998; or

(n) A person who is prohibited from acquiring or possessing firearms or firearm ammunition by any Illinois State statute or by federal law.

(Source: P.A. 90-130, eff. 1-1-98; 90-493, eff. 1-1-98; 90-655, eff. 7-30-98; 91-694, eff. 4-13-00.)

Section 15. The Criminal Code of 1961 is amended by changing Sections 9-1, 14-3, and 29B-1 and adding Article 29D as follows:

(720 ILCS 5/9-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 9-1)

Sec. 9-1. First degree Murder - Death penalties - Exceptions - Separate Hearings - Proof - Findings - Appellate procedures - Reversals.

(a) A person who kills an individual without lawful justification commits first degree murder if, in performing the acts which cause the death:

(1) he either intends to kill or do great bodily harm to that individual or another, or knows that such acts will cause death to that individual or another; or

(2) he knows that such acts create a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to that individual or another; or

(3) he is attempting or committing a forcible felony other than second degree murder.

(b) Aggravating Factors. A defendant who at the time of the commission of the offense has attained the age of 18 or more and who has been found guilty of first degree murder may be sentenced to death if:

(1) the murdered individual was a peace officer or fireman killed in the course of performing his official duties, to prevent the performance of his official duties, or in retaliation for performing his official duties, and the defendant knew or should have known that the murdered individual was a peace officer or fireman; or

(2) the murdered individual was an employee of an institution or facility of the Department of Corrections, or any similar local correctional agency, killed in the course of performing his official duties, to prevent the performance of his official duties, or in retaliation for performing his official duties, or the murdered individual was an inmate at such institution or facility and was killed on the grounds thereof, or the murdered individual was otherwise present in such institution or facility with the knowledge and approval of the chief administrative officer thereof; or

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(3) the defendant has been convicted of murdering two or more individuals under subsection (a) of this Section or under any law of the United States or of any state which is substantially similar to subsection (a) of this Section regardless of whether the deaths occurred as the result of the same act or of several related or unrelated acts so long as the deaths were the result of either an intent to kill more than one person or of separate acts which the defendant knew would cause death or create a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to the murdered individual or another; or

(4) the murdered individual was killed as a result of the hijacking of an airplane, train, ship, bus or other public conveyance; or

(5) the defendant committed the murder pursuant to a contract, agreement or understanding by which he was to receive money or anything of value in return for committing the murder or procured another to commit the murder for money or anything of value; or

(6) the murdered individual was killed in the course of another felony if:

(a) the murdered individual:

(i) was actually killed by the defendant, or

(ii) received physical injuries personally inflicted by the defendant substantially contemporaneously with physical injuries caused by one or more persons for whose conduct the defendant is legally accountable under Section 5-2 of this Code, and the physical injuries inflicted by either the defendant or the other person or persons for whose conduct he is legally accountable caused the death of the murdered individual; and

(b) in performing the acts which caused the death of the murdered individual or which resulted in physical injuries personally inflicted by the defendant on the murdered individual under the circumstances of subdivision (ii) of subparagraph (a) of paragraph (6) of subsection (b) of this Section, the defendant acted with the intent to kill the murdered individual or with the knowledge that his acts created a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to the murdered individual or another; and

(c) the other felony was one of the following: armed robbery, armed violence, robbery, predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, aggravated kidnapping, aggravated vehicular hijacking, forcible detention, arson, aggravated arson, aggravated stalking, burglary, residential burglary, home invasion, calculated criminal drug conspiracy as defined in Section 405 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, streetgang criminal drug conspiracy as defined in Section 405.2 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, or the attempt to commit any of the felonies listed in this subsection (c); or

(7) the murdered individual was under 12 years of age and the death resulted from exceptionally brutal or heinous behavior indicative of wanton cruelty; or

(8) the defendant committed the murder with intent to prevent the murdered individual from testifying in any criminal prosecution or giving material assistance to the State in any investigation or prosecution, either against the defendant or another; or the defendant committed the murder because the murdered individual was a witness in any prosecution or gave

material assistance to the State in any investigation or prosecution, either against the defendant or another; or

(9) the defendant, while committing an offense punishable under Sections 401, 401.1, 401.2, 405, 405.2, 407 or 407.1 or subsection (b) of Section 404 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, or while engaged in a conspiracy or solicitation to commit such offense, intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured or caused the intentional killing of the murdered individual; or

(10) the defendant was incarcerated in an institution or facility of the Department of Corrections at the time of the murder, and while committing an offense punishable as a felony under Illinois law, or while engaged in a conspiracy or solicitation to commit such offense, intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured or caused the intentional killing of the murdered individual; or

(11) the murder was committed in a cold, calculated and premeditated manner pursuant to a preconceived plan, scheme or design to take a human life by unlawful means, and the conduct of the defendant created a reasonable expectation that the death of a human being would result therefrom; or

(12) the murdered individual was an emergency medical technician - ambulance, emergency medical technician - intermediate, emergency medical technician - paramedic, ambulance driver, or other medical assistance or first aid personnel, employed by a municipality or other governmental unit, killed in the course of performing his official duties, to prevent the performance of his official duties, or in retaliation for performing his official duties, and the defendant knew or should have known that the murdered individual was an emergency medical technician - ambulance, emergency medical technician - intermediate, emergency medical technician - paramedic, ambulance driver, or other medical assistance or first aid personnel; or

(13) the defendant was a principal administrator, organizer, or leader of a calculated criminal drug conspiracy consisting of a hierarchical position of authority superior to that of all other members of the conspiracy, and the defendant counseled, commanded, induced, procured, or caused the intentional killing of the murdered person; or

(14) the murder was intentional and involved the infliction of torture. For the purpose of this Section torture means the infliction of or subjection to extreme physical pain, motivated by an intent to increase or prolong the pain, suffering or agony of the victim; or

(15) the murder was committed as a result of the intentional discharge of a firearm by the defendant from a motor vehicle and the victim was not present within the motor vehicle; or

(16) the murdered individual was 60 years of age or older and the death resulted from exceptionally brutal or heinous behavior indicative of wanton cruelty; or

(17) the murdered individual was a disabled person and the defendant knew or should have known that the murdered individual was disabled. For purposes of this paragraph (17), "disabled person" means a person who suffers from a permanent physical or mental impairment resulting from disease, an injury, a functional disorder, or a congenital condition that renders the person incapable of adequately providing for his or her own health or personal care; or

(18) the murder was committed by reason of any person's

[Feb. 22, 2002]

activity as a community policing volunteer or to prevent any person from engaging in activity as a community policing volunteer; or

(19) the murdered individual was subject to an order of protection and the murder was committed by a person against whom the same order of protection was issued under the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986; or

(20) the murdered individual was known by the defendant to be a teacher or other person employed in any school and the teacher or other employee is upon the grounds of a school or grounds adjacent to a school, or is in any part of a building used for school purposes; or-

(21) the murder was committed by the defendant in connection with or as a result of the offense of terrorism as defined in Section 29D-30 of this Code.

(c) Consideration of factors in Aggravation and Mitigation.

The court shall consider, or shall instruct the jury to consider any aggravating and any mitigating factors which are relevant to the imposition of the death penalty. Aggravating factors may include but need not be limited to those factors set forth in subsection (b). Mitigating factors may include but need not be limited to the following:

(1) the defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity;

(2) the murder was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance, although not such as to constitute a defense to prosecution;

(3) the murdered individual was a participant in the defendant's homicidal conduct or consented to the homicidal act;

(4) the defendant acted under the compulsion of threat or menace of the imminent infliction of death or great bodily harm;

(5) the defendant was not personally present during commission of the act or acts causing death.

(d) Separate sentencing hearing.

Where requested by the State, the court shall conduct a separate sentencing proceeding to determine the existence of factors set forth in subsection (b) and to consider any aggravating or mitigating factors as indicated in subsection (c). The proceeding shall be conducted:

(1) before the jury that determined the defendant's guilt; or

(2) before a jury impanelled for the purpose of the proceeding if:

A. the defendant was convicted upon a plea of guilty;

or

B. the defendant was convicted after a trial before the court sitting without a jury; or

C. the court for good cause shown discharges the jury that determined the defendant's guilt; or

(3) before the court alone if the defendant waives a jury for the separate proceeding.

(e) Evidence and Argument.

During the proceeding any information relevant to any of the factors set forth in subsection (b) may be presented by either the State or the defendant under the rules governing the admission of evidence at criminal trials. Any information relevant to any additional aggravating factors or any mitigating factors indicated in subsection (c) may be presented by the State or defendant regardless of its admissibility under the rules governing the admission of evidence at criminal trials. The State and the defendant shall be

given fair opportunity to rebut any information received at the hearing.

(f) Proof.

The burden of proof of establishing the existence of any of the factors set forth in subsection (b) is on the State and shall not be satisfied unless established beyond a reasonable doubt.

(g) Procedure - Jury.

If at the separate sentencing proceeding the jury finds that none of the factors set forth in subsection (b) exists, the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections. If there is a unanimous finding by the jury that one or more of the factors set forth in subsection (b) exist, the jury shall consider aggravating and mitigating factors as instructed by the court and shall determine whether the sentence of death shall be imposed. If the jury determines unanimously that there are no mitigating factors sufficient to preclude the imposition of the death sentence, the court shall sentence the defendant to death.

Unless the jury unanimously finds that there are no mitigating factors sufficient to preclude the imposition of the death sentence the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(h) Procedure - No Jury.

In a proceeding before the court alone, if the court finds that none of the factors found in subsection (b) exists, the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

If the Court determines that one or more of the factors set forth in subsection (b) exists, the Court shall consider any aggravating and mitigating factors as indicated in subsection (c). If the Court determines that there are no mitigating factors sufficient to preclude the imposition of the death sentence, the Court shall sentence the defendant to death.

Unless the court finds that there are no mitigating factors sufficient to preclude the imposition of the sentence of death, the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(i) Appellate Procedure.

The conviction and sentence of death shall be subject to automatic review by the Supreme Court. Such review shall be in accordance with rules promulgated by the Supreme Court.

(j) Disposition of reversed death sentence.

In the event that the death penalty in this Act is held to be unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States or of the State of Illinois, any person convicted of first degree murder shall be sentenced by the court to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

In the event that any death sentence pursuant to the sentencing provisions of this Section is declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States or of the State of Illinois, the court having jurisdiction over a person previously sentenced to death shall cause the defendant to be brought before the court, and the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(Source: P.A. 90-213, eff. 1-1-98; 90-651, eff. 1-1-99; 90-668, eff. 1-1-99; 91-357, eff. 7-29-99; 91-434, eff. 1-1-00.)

(720 ILCS 5/14-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 14-3)

Sec. 14-3. Exemptions. The following activities shall be exempt from the provisions of this Article:

(a) Listening to radio, wireless and television communications

[Feb. 22, 2002]

of any sort where the same are publicly made;

(b) Hearing conversation when heard by employees of any common carrier by wire incidental to the normal course of their employment in the operation, maintenance or repair of the equipment of such common carrier by wire so long as no information obtained thereby is used or divulged by the hearer;

(c) Any broadcast by radio, television or otherwise whether it be a broadcast or recorded for the purpose of later broadcasts of any function where the public is in attendance and the conversations are overheard incidental to the main purpose for which such broadcasts are then being made;

(d) Recording or listening with the aid of any device to any emergency communication made in the normal course of operations by any federal, state or local law enforcement agency or institutions dealing in emergency services, including, but not limited to, hospitals, clinics, ambulance services, fire fighting agencies, any public utility, emergency repair facility, civilian defense establishment or military installation;

(e) Recording the proceedings of any meeting required to be open by the Open Meetings Act, as amended;

(f) Recording or listening with the aid of any device to incoming telephone calls of phone lines publicly listed or advertised as consumer "hotlines" by manufacturers or retailers of food and drug products. Such recordings must be destroyed, erased or turned over to local law enforcement authorities within 24 hours from the time of such recording and shall not be otherwise disseminated. Failure on the part of the individual or business operating any such recording or listening device to comply with the requirements of this subsection shall eliminate any civil or criminal immunity conferred upon that individual or business by the operation of this Section;

(g) With prior notification to the State's Attorney of the county in which it is to occur, recording or listening with the aid of any device to any conversation where a law enforcement officer, or any person acting at the direction of law enforcement, is a party to the conversation and has consented to it being intercepted or recorded under circumstances where the use of the device is necessary for the protection of the law enforcement officer or any person acting at the direction of law enforcement, in the course of an investigation of a forcible felony, a felony violation of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, a felony violation of the Cannabis Control Act, or any "streetgang related" or "gang-related" felony as those terms are defined in the Illinois Streetgang Terrorism Omnibus Prevention Act. Any recording or evidence derived as the result of this exemption shall be inadmissible in any proceeding, criminal, civil or administrative, except (i) where a party to the conversation suffers great bodily injury or is killed during such conversation, or (ii) when used as direct impeachment of a witness concerning matters contained in the interception or recording. The Director of the Department of State Police shall issue regulations as are necessary concerning the use of devices, retention of tape recordings, and reports regarding their use;

(g-5) With approval of the State's Attorney of the county in which it is to occur, recording or listening with the aid of any device to any conversation where a law enforcement officer, or any person acting at the direction of law enforcement, is a party to the conversation and has consented to it being intercepted or recorded in the course of an investigation of any offense defined in Article 29D of this Code. In all such cases, an application for an order approving the previous or continuing use of an eavesdropping device must be made within 48 hours of the commencement of such use. In the

[Feb. 22, 2002]



absence of such an order, or upon its denial, any continuing use shall immediately terminate. The Director of State Police shall issue rules as are necessary concerning the use of devices, retention of tape recordings, and reports regarding their use.

Any recording or evidence obtained or derived in the course of an investigation of any offense defined in Article 29D of this Code shall, upon motion of the State's Attorney or Attorney General prosecuting any violation of Article 29D, be reviewed in camera with notice to all parties present by the court presiding over the criminal case, and, if ruled by the court to be relevant and otherwise admissible, it shall be admissible at the trial of the criminal case.

This subsection (g-5) is inoperative on and after January 1, 2005. No conversations recorded or monitored pursuant to this subsection (g-5) shall be inadmissible in a court of law by virtue of the repeal of this subsection (g-5) on January 1, 2005.

(h) Recordings made simultaneously with a video recording of an oral conversation between a peace officer, who has identified his or her office, and a person stopped for an investigation of an offense under the Illinois Vehicle Code;

(i) Recording of a conversation made by or at the request of a person, not a law enforcement officer or agent of a law enforcement officer, who is a party to the conversation, under reasonable suspicion that another party to the conversation is committing, is about to commit, or has committed a criminal offense against the person or a member of his or her immediate household, and there is reason to believe that evidence of the criminal offense may be obtained by the recording; and

(j) The use of a telephone monitoring device by either (1) a corporation or other business entity engaged in marketing or opinion research or (2) a corporation or other business entity engaged in telephone solicitation, as defined in this subsection, to record or listen to oral telephone solicitation conversations or marketing or opinion research conversations by an employee of the corporation or other business entity when:

(i) the monitoring is used for the purpose of service quality control of marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, the education or training of employees or contractors engaged in marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, or internal research related to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation; and

(ii) the monitoring is used with the consent of at least one person who is an active party to the marketing or opinion research conversation or telephone solicitation conversation being monitored.

No communication or conversation or any part, portion, or aspect of the communication or conversation made, acquired, or obtained, directly or indirectly, under this exemption (j), may be, directly or indirectly, furnished to any law enforcement officer, agency, or official for any purpose or used in any inquiry or investigation, or used, directly or indirectly, in any administrative, judicial, or other proceeding, or divulged to any third party.

When recording or listening authorized by this subsection (j) on telephone lines used for marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation purposes results in recording or listening to a conversation that does not relate to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation; the person recording or listening shall, immediately upon determining that the conversation does not relate to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, terminate the recording or listening and destroy any such recording as soon as

[Feb. 22, 2002]

is practicable.

Business entities that use a telephone monitoring or telephone recording system pursuant to this exemption (j) shall provide current and prospective employees with notice that the monitoring or recordings may occur during the course of their employment. The notice shall include prominent signage notification within the workplace.

Business entities that use a telephone monitoring or telephone recording system pursuant to this exemption (j) shall provide their employees or agents with access to personal-only telephone lines which may be pay telephones, that are not subject to telephone monitoring or telephone recording.

For the purposes of this subsection (j), "telephone solicitation" means a communication through the use of a telephone by live operators:

- (i) soliciting the sale of goods or services;
- (ii) receiving orders for the sale of goods or services;
- (iii) assisting in the use of goods or services; or
- (iv) engaging in the solicitation, administration, or collection of bank or retail credit accounts.

For the purposes of this subsection (j), "marketing or opinion research" means a marketing or opinion research interview conducted by a live telephone interviewer engaged by a corporation or other business entity whose principal business is the design, conduct, and analysis of polls and surveys measuring the opinions, attitudes, and responses of respondents toward products and services, or social or political issues, or both.

(Source: P.A. 91-357, eff. 7-29-99.)

(720 ILCS 5/29B-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 29B-1)

Sec. 29B-1. (a) A person commits the offense of money laundering:

(1) when he knowingly engages or attempts to engage in a financial transaction in criminally derived property with either the intent to promote the carrying on of the unlawful activity from which the criminally derived property was obtained or where he knows or reasonably should know that the financial transaction is designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership or the control of the criminally derived property; or-

(2) when, with the intent to:

(A) promote the carrying on of a specified criminal activity as defined in this Article; or

(B) conceal or disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, or control of property believed to be the proceeds of a specified criminal activity as defined by subdivision (b) (6).

he or she conducts or attempts to conduct a financial transaction involving property he or she believes to be the proceeds of specified criminal activity as defined by subdivision (b) (6) or property used to conduct or facilitate specified criminal activity as defined by subdivision (b) (6).

(b) As used in this Section:

(1) "Financial transaction" means a purchase, sale, loan, pledge, gift, transfer, delivery or other disposition utilizing criminally derived property, and with respect to financial institutions, includes a deposit, withdrawal, transfer between accounts, exchange of currency, loan, extension of credit, purchase or sale of any stock, bond, certificate of deposit or other monetary instrument or any other payment, transfer or delivery by, through, or to a financial institution. For purposes

[Feb. 22, 2002]

of clause (a)(2) of this Section, the term "financial transaction" also means a transaction which without regard to whether the funds, monetary instruments, or real or personal property involved in the transaction are criminally derived, any transaction which in any way or degree: (1) involves the movement of funds by wire or any other means; (2) involves one or more monetary instruments; or (3) the transfer of title to any real or personal property. The receipt by an attorney of bona fide fees for the purpose of legal representation is not a financial transaction for purposes of this Section.

(2) "Financial institution" means any bank; saving and loan association; trust company; agency or branch of a foreign bank in the United States; currency exchange; credit union, mortgage banking institution; pawnbroker; loan or finance company; operator of a credit card system; issuer, redeemer or cashier of travelers checks, checks or money orders; dealer in precious metals, stones or jewels; broker or dealer in securities or commodities; investment banker; or investment company.

(3) "Monetary instrument" means United States coins and currency; coins and currency of a foreign country; travelers checks; personal checks, bank checks, and money orders; investment securities; bearer negotiable instruments; bearer investment securities; or bearer securities and certificates of stock in such form that title thereto passes upon delivery.

(4) "Criminally derived property" means any property constituting or derived from proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, pursuant to a violation of the Criminal Code of 1961, the Illinois Controlled Substances Act or the Cannabis Control Act.

(5) "Conduct" or "conducts" includes, in addition to its ordinary meaning, initiating, concluding, or participating in initiating or concluding a transaction.

(6) "Specified criminal activity" means any violation of Section 20.5-5 (720 ILCS 5/20.5-5) and any violation of Article 29D of this Code.

(c) Sentence.

(1) Laundering of criminally derived property of a value not exceeding \$10,000 is a Class 3 felony;

(2) Laundering of criminally derived property of a value exceeding \$10,000 but not exceeding \$100,000 is a Class 2 felony;

(3) Laundering of criminally derived property of a value exceeding \$100,000 is a Class 1 felony;-

(4) Money laundering in violation of subsection (a)(2) of this Section is a Class X felony.

(Source: P.A. 88-258.)

(720 ILCS 5/Article 29D heading new)

#### ARTICLE 29D. TERRORISM

(720 ILCS 5/29D-5 new)

Sec. 29D-5. Legislative findings. The devastating consequences of the barbaric attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001 underscore the compelling need for legislation that is specifically designed to combat the evils of terrorism. Terrorism is inconsistent with civilized society and cannot be tolerated.

A comprehensive State law is urgently needed to complement federal laws in the fight against terrorism and to better protect all citizens against terrorist acts. Accordingly, the legislature finds that our laws must be strengthened to ensure that terrorists, as well as those who solicit or provide financial and other support to terrorists, are prosecuted and punished in State courts with

[Feb. 22, 2002]

appropriate severity. The legislature further finds that due to the grave nature and global reach of terrorism that a comprehensive law encompassing State criminal statutes and strong civil remedies is needed.

An investigation may not be initiated or continued for activities protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, including expressions of support or the provision of financial support for the nonviolent political, religious, philosophical, or ideological goals or beliefs of any person or group.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-10 new)

Sec. 29D-10. Definitions. As used in this Article, where not otherwise distinctly expressed or manifestly incompatible with the intent of this Article:

(a) "Computer network" means a set of related, remotely connected devices and any communications facilities including more than one computer with the capability to transmit data among them through communication facilities.

(b) "Computer" means a device that accepts, processes, stores, retrieves, or outputs data, and includes, but is not limited to, auxiliary storage and telecommunications devices.

(c) "Computer program" means a series of coded instruction or statements in a form acceptable to a computer which causes the computer to process data and supply the results of data processing.

(d) "Data" means representations of information, knowledge, facts, concepts or instructions, including program documentation, that are prepared in a formalized manner and are stored or processed in or transmitted by a computer. Data may be in any form, including but not limited to magnetic or optical storage media, punch cards, or data stored internally in the memory of a computer.

(e) "Biological products used in or in connection with agricultural production" includes, but is not limited to, seeds, plants, and DNA of plants or animals altered for use in crop or livestock breeding or production or which are sold, intended, designed, or produced for use in crop production or livestock breeding or production.

(f) "Agricultural products" means crops and livestock.

(g) "Agricultural production" means the breeding and growing of livestock and crops.

(h) "Livestock" means animals bred or raised for human consumption.

(i) "Crops" means plants raised for: (1) human consumption, (2) fruits that are intended for human consumption, (3) consumption by livestock, and (4) fruits that are intended for consumption by livestock.

(j) "Communications systems" means any works, property, or material of any radio, telegraph, telephone, microwave, or cable line, station, or system.

(k) "Substantial damage" means monetary damage greater than \$100,000.

(l) "Terrorist act" or "act of terrorism" means: (1) any act that is intended to cause or create a risk and does cause or create a risk of death or great bodily harm to one or more persons; (2) any act that disables or destroys the usefulness or operation of any communications system; (3) any act or any series of 2 or more acts committed in furtherance of a single intention, scheme, or design that disables or destroys the usefulness or operation of a computer network, computers, computer programs, or data used by any industry, by any class of business, or by 5 or more businesses or by the federal government, State government, any unit of local government, a public utility, a manufacturer of pharmaceuticals, a national defense

[Feb. 22, 2002]

contractor, or a manufacturer of chemical or biological products used in or in connection with agricultural production; (4) any act that disables or causes substantial damage to or destruction of any structure or facility used in or used in connection with ground, air, or water transportation; the production or distribution of electricity, gas, oil, or other fuel; the treatment of sewage or the treatment or distribution of water; or controlling the flow of any body of water; (5) any act that causes substantial damage to or destruction of livestock or to crops or a series of 2 or more acts committed in furtherance of a single intention, scheme, or design which, in the aggregate, causes substantial damage to or destruction of livestock or crops; (6) any act that causes substantial damage to or destruction of any hospital or any building or facility used by the federal government, State government, any unit of local government or by a national defense contractor or by a public utility, a manufacturer of pharmaceuticals, a manufacturer of chemical or biological products used in or in connection with agricultural production or the storage or processing of agricultural products or the preparation of agricultural products for food or food products intended for resale or for feed for livestock; or (7) any act that causes substantial damage to any building containing 5 or more businesses of any type or to any building in which 10 or more people reside.

(m) "Terrorist" and "terrorist organization" means any person who engages or is about to engage in a terrorist act with the intent to intimidate or coerce a significant portion of a civilian population.

(n) "Material support or resources" means currency or other financial securities, financial services, lodging, training, safe houses, false documentation or identification, communications equipment, facilities, weapons, lethal substances, explosives, personnel, transportation, any other kind of physical assets or intangible property, and expert services or expert assistance.

(o) "Person" has the meaning given in Section 2-15 of this Code and, in addition to that meaning, includes, without limitation, any charitable organization, whether incorporated or unincorporated, any professional fund raiser, professional solicitor, limited liability company, association, joint stock company, association, trust, trustee, or any group of people formally or informally affiliated or associated for a common purpose, and any officer, director, partner, member, or agent of any person.

(p) "Render criminal assistance" means to do any of the following with the intent to prevent, hinder, or delay the discovery or apprehension of, or the lodging of a criminal charge against, a person who he or she knows or believes has committed an offense under this Article or is being sought by law enforcement officials for the commission of an offense under this Article, or with the intent to assist a person in profiting or benefiting from the commission of an offense under this Article:

- (1) harbor or conceal the person;
- (2) warn the person of impending discovery or apprehension;
- (3) provide the person with money, transportation, a weapon, a disguise, false identification documents, or any other means of avoiding discovery or apprehension;
- (4) prevent or obstruct, by means of force, intimidation, or deception, anyone from performing an act that might aid in the discovery or apprehension of the person or in the lodging of a criminal charge against the person;
- (5) suppress, by any act of concealment, alteration, or destruction, any physical evidence that might aid in the

[Feb. 22, 2002]

discovery or apprehension of the person or in the lodging of a criminal charge against the person;

(6) aid the person to protect or expeditiously profit from an advantage derived from the crime; or

(7) provide expert services or expert assistance to the person. Providing expert services or expert assistance shall not be construed to apply to: (1) a licensed attorney who discusses with a client the legal consequences of a proposed course of conduct or advises a client of legal or constitutional rights and (2) a licensed medical doctor who provides emergency medical treatment to a person whom he or she believes has committed an offense under this Article if, as soon as reasonably practicable either before or after providing such treatment, he or she notifies a law enforcement agency.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-15 new)

Sec. 29D-15. Soliciting material support for terrorism; providing material support for a terrorist act.

(a) A person is guilty of soliciting material support for terrorism if he or she knowingly raises, solicits, or collects material support or resources knowing that the material support or resources will be used, in whole or in part, to plan, prepare, carry out, or avoid apprehension for committing terrorism as defined in Section 29D-30 or causing a catastrophe as defined in Section 20.5-5 (720 ILCS 5/20.5-5) of this Code, or who knows and intends that the material support or resources so raised, solicited, or collected will be used in the commission of a terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1) of this Code by an organization designated under 8 U.S.C. 1189, as amended. It is not an element of the offense that the defendant actually knows that an organization has been designated under 8 U.S.C. 1189, as amended.

(b) A person is guilty of providing material support for terrorism if he or she knowingly provides material support or resources to a person knowing that the person will use that support or those resources in whole or in part to plan, prepare, carry out, facilitate, or to avoid apprehension for committing terrorism as defined in Section 29D-30 or to cause a catastrophe as defined in Section 20.5-5 (720 ILCS 5/20.5-5) of this Code.

(c) Sentence. Soliciting material support for terrorism is a Class X felony for which the sentence shall be a term of imprisonment of no less than 9 years and no more than 40 years. Providing material support for a terrorist act is a Class X felony for which the sentence shall be a term of imprisonment of no less than 9 years and no more than 40 years.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-20 new)

Sec. 29D-20. Making a terrorist threat.

(a) A person is guilty of making a terrorist threat when, with the intent to intimidate or coerce a significant portion of a civilian population, he or she in any manner knowingly threatens to commit or threatens to cause the commission of a terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1) and thereby causes a reasonable expectation or fear of the imminent commission of a terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1) or of another terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1).

(b) It is not a defense to a prosecution under this Section that at the time the defendant made the terrorist threat, unknown to the defendant, it was impossible to carry out the threat, nor is it a defense that the threat was not made to a person who was a subject or intended victim of the threatened act.

(c) Sentence. Making a terrorist threat is a Class X felony.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-25 new)

[Feb. 22, 2002]

Sec. 29D-25. Falsely making a terrorist threat.

(a) A person is guilty of falsely making a terrorist threat when in any manner he or she knowingly makes a threat to commit or cause to be committed a terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1) or otherwise knowingly creates the impression or belief that a terrorist act is about to be or has been committed, or in any manner knowingly makes a threat to commit or cause to be committed a catastrophe as defined in Section 20.5-5 (720 ILCS 5/20.5-5) of this Code which he or she knows is false.

(b) Sentence. Falsely making a terrorist threat is a Class 1 felony.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-30 new)

Sec. 29D-30. Terrorism.

(a) A person is guilty of terrorism when, with the intent to intimidate or coerce a significant portion of a civilian population:

(1) he or she knowingly commits a terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1) of this Code within this State; or

(2) he or she, while outside this State, knowingly commits a terrorist act as defined in Section 29D-10(1) of this Code that takes effect within this State or produces substantial detrimental effects within this State.

(b) Sentence. Terrorism is a Class X felony. If no deaths are caused by the terrorist act, the sentence shall be a term of 20 years to natural life imprisonment; however, if the terrorist act caused the death of one or more persons, a mandatory term of natural life imprisonment shall be the sentence in the event the death penalty is not imposed.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-35 new)

Sec. 29D-35. Hindering prosecution of terrorism.

(a) A person is guilty of hindering prosecution of terrorism when he or she renders criminal assistance to a person who has committed terrorism as defined in Section 29D-30 or caused a catastrophe, as defined in Section 20.5-5 of this Code when he or she knows that the person to whom he or she rendered criminal assistance engaged in an act of terrorism or caused a catastrophe.

(b) Hindering prosecution of terrorism is a Class X felony, the sentence for which shall be a term of 20 years to natural life imprisonment if no death was caused by the act of terrorism committed by the person to whom the defendant rendered criminal assistance and a mandatory term of natural life imprisonment if death was caused by the act of terrorism committed by the person to whom the defendant rendered criminal assistance.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-40 new)

Sec. 29D-40. Restitution. In addition to any other penalty that may be imposed, a court shall sentence any person convicted of any violation of this Article to pay all expenses incurred by the federal government, State government, or any unit of local government in responding to any violation and cleaning up following any violation.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-45 new)

Sec. 29D-45. Limitations. A prosecution for any offense in this Article may be commenced at any time.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-60 new)

Sec. 29D-60. Injunctive relief. Whenever it appears to the Attorney General or any State's Attorney that any person is engaged in, or is about to engage in, any act that constitutes or would constitute a violation of this Article, the Attorney General or any State's Attorney may initiate a civil action in the circuit court to enjoin the violation.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-65 new)

Sec. 29D-65. Asset freeze, seizure, and forfeiture.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(a) Asset freeze, seizure, and forfeiture in connection with a violation of this Article.

(1) Whenever it appears that there is probable cause to believe that any person used, is using, is about to use, or is intending to use property in any way that constitutes or would constitute a violation of this Article, the Attorney General or any State's Attorney may make an ex parte application to the circuit court to freeze or seize all the assets of that person and, upon a showing of probable cause in the ex parte hearing, the circuit court shall issue an order to freeze or seize all assets of that person. A copy of the freeze or seize order shall be served upon the person whose assets have been frozen or seized and that person or any person claiming an interest in the property may, at any time within 30 days of service, file a motion to release his or her assets. Within 10 days that person is entitled to a hearing. In any proceeding to release assets, the burden of proof shall be by a preponderance of evidence and shall be on the State to show that the person used, was using, is about to use, or is intending to use any property in any way that constitutes or would constitute a violation of this Article. If the court finds that any property was being used, is about to be used, or is intended to be used in violation of or in any way that would constitute a violation of this Article, the court shall order such property frozen or held until further order of the court. Any property so ordered held or frozen shall be subject to forfeiture under the following procedure. Upon the request of the defendant, the court may release frozen or seized assets sufficient to pay attorney's fees for representation of the defendant at a hearing conducted under this Section.

(2) If, within 60 days after any seizure or asset freeze under subparagraph (1) of this Section, a person having any property interest in the seized or frozen property is charged with an offense, the court which renders judgment upon the charge shall, within 30 days after the judgment, conduct a forfeiture hearing to determine whether the property was used, about to be used, or intended to be used in violation of this Article or in connection with any violation of this Article, or was integrally related to any violation or intended violation of this Article. The hearing shall be commenced by a written petition by the State, including material allegations of fact, the name and address of every person determined by the State to have any property interest in the seized or frozen property, a representation that written notice of the date, time, and place of the hearing has been mailed to every such person by certified mail at least 10 days before the date, and a request for forfeiture. Every such person may appear as a party and present evidence at the hearing. The quantum of proof required shall be preponderance of the evidence, and the burden of proof shall be on the State. If the court determines that the seized or frozen property was used, about to be used, or intended to be used in violation of this Article or in connection with any violation of this Article, or was integrally related to any violation or intended violation of this Article, an order of forfeiture and disposition of the seized or frozen money and property shall be entered. All property forfeited may be liquidated and the resultant money together with any money forfeited shall be allocated among the participating law enforcement agencies in such proportions as may be determined to be equitable by the court entering the forfeiture order, any such property so forfeited shall be received by the State's Attorney or Attorney

[Feb. 22, 2002]



General and upon liquidation shall be allocated among the participating law enforcement agencies in such proportions as may be determined equitable by the court entering the forfeiture order.

(3) If a seizure or asset freeze under subparagraph (1) of this subsection (a) is not followed by a charge under this Article within 60 days, or if the prosecution of the charge is permanently terminated or indefinitely discontinued without any judgment of conviction or a judgment of acquittal is entered, the State's Attorney or Attorney General shall immediately commence an in rem proceeding for the forfeiture of any seized money or other things of value, or both, in the circuit court and any person having any property interest in the money or property may commence separate civil proceedings in the manner provided by law. Any property so forfeited shall be allocated among the participating law enforcement agencies in such proportions as may be determined to be equitable by the court entering the forfeiture order.

(b) Forfeiture of property acquired in connection with a violation of this Article.

(1) Any person who commits any offense under this Article shall forfeit, according to the provisions of this Section, any moneys, profits, or proceeds, and any interest or property in which the sentencing court determines he or she has acquired or maintained, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, as a result of, or used, was about to be used, or was intended to be used in connection with the offense. The person shall also forfeit any interest in, security, claim against, or contractual right of any kind which affords the person a source of influence over any enterprise which he or she has established, operated, controlled, conducted, or participated in conducting, where his or her relationship to or connection with any such thing or activity directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, is traceable to any item or benefit which he or she has obtained or acquired through an offense under this Article or which he or she used, about to use, or intended to use in connection with any offense under this Article. Forfeiture under this Section may be pursued in addition to or in lieu of proceeding under subsection (a) of this Section.

(2) Proceedings instituted under this subsection shall be subject to and conducted in accordance with the following procedures:

(A) The sentencing court shall, upon petition by the prosecuting agency, whether it is the Attorney General or the State's Attorney, at any time following sentencing, conduct a hearing to determine whether any property or property interest is subject to forfeiture under this subsection. At the forfeiture hearing the People of the State of Illinois shall have the burden of establishing, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the property or property interests are subject to forfeiture.

(B) In any action brought by the People of the State of Illinois under this Section, the court shall have jurisdiction to enter such restraining orders, injunctions, or prohibitions, or to take such other action in connection with any real, personal, or mixed property, or other interest, subject to forfeiture, as it shall consider proper.

(C) In any action brought by the People of the State of Illinois under this subsection in which any restraining

[Feb. 22, 2002]

order, injunction, or prohibition or any other action in connection with any property or interest subject to forfeiture under this subsection is sought, the circuit court presiding over the trial of the person or persons charged with a violation under this Article shall first determine whether there is probable cause to believe that the person or persons so charged have committed an offense under this Article and whether the property or interest is subject to forfeiture under this subsection. In order to make this determination, prior to entering any such order, the court shall conduct a hearing without a jury in which the People shall establish: (i) probable cause that the person or persons so charged have committed an offense under this Article; and (ii) probable cause that any property or interest may be subject to forfeiture under this subsection. The hearing may be conducted simultaneously with a preliminary hearing if the prosecution is commenced by information, or by motion of the People at any stage in the proceedings. The court may enter a finding of probable cause at a preliminary hearing following the filing of an information charging a violation of this Article or the return of an indictment by a grand jury charging an offense under this Article as sufficient probable cause for purposes of this subsection. Upon such a finding, the circuit court shall enter such restraining order, injunction, or prohibition or shall take such other action in connection with any such property or other interest subject to forfeiture under this subsection as is necessary to ensure that the property is not removed from the jurisdiction of the court, concealed, destroyed, or otherwise disposed of by the owner or holder of that property or interest prior to a forfeiture hearing under this subsection. The Attorney General or State's Attorney shall file a certified copy of the restraining order, injunction, or other prohibition with the recorder of deeds or registrar of titles of each county where any such property of the defendant may be located. No such injunction, restraining order, or other prohibition shall affect the rights of any bona fide purchaser, mortgagee, judgment creditor, or other lien holder arising prior to the date of such filing. The court may, at any time, upon verified petition by the defendant, conduct a hearing to release all or portions of any such property or interest which the court previously determined to be subject to forfeiture or subject to any restraining order, injunction, prohibition, or other action. The court may release the property to the defendant for good cause shown and within the sound discretion of the court.

(D) Upon a conviction of a person under this Article, the court shall authorize the Attorney General or State's Attorney to seize and sell all property or other interest declared forfeited under this Article, unless the property is required by law to be destroyed or is harmful to the public. The court may order the Attorney General or State's Attorney to segregate funds from the proceeds of the sale sufficient: (1) to satisfy any order of restitution, as the court may deem appropriate; (2) to satisfy any legal right, title, or interest which the court deems superior to any right, title, or interest of the defendant at the time of the commission of the acts which gave rise to forfeiture under this subsection; or (3) to satisfy any bona-fide

purchaser for value of the right, title, or interest in the property who was without reasonable notice that the property was subject to forfeiture. Following the entry of an order of forfeiture, the Attorney General or State's Attorney shall publish notice of the order and his or her intent to dispose of the property. Within 30 days following the publication, any person may petition the court to adjudicate the validity of his or her alleged interest in the property. After the deduction of all requisite expenses of administration and sale, the Attorney General or State's Attorney shall distribute the proceeds of the sale, along with any moneys forfeited or seized, among participating law enforcement agencies in such equitable portions as the court shall determine.

(E) No judge shall release any property or money seized under subdivision (A) or (B) for the payment of attorney's fees of any person claiming an interest in such money or property.

(c) Exemptions from forfeiture. A property interest is exempt from forfeiture under this Section if its owner or interest holder establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the owner or interest holder:

(A)(i) in the case of personal property, is not legally accountable for the conduct giving rise to the forfeiture, did not acquiesce in it, and did not know and could not reasonably have known of the conduct or that the conduct was likely to occur, or

(ii) in the case of real property, is not legally accountable for the conduct giving rise to the forfeiture, or did not solicit, conspire, or attempt to commit the conduct giving rise to the forfeiture; and

(B) had not acquired and did not stand to acquire substantial proceeds from the conduct giving rise to its forfeiture other than as an interest holder in an arms length commercial transaction; and

(C) with respect to conveyances, did not hold the property jointly or in common with a person whose conduct gave rise to the forfeiture; and

(D) does not hold the property for the benefit of or as nominee for any person whose conduct gave rise to its forfeiture, and, if the owner or interest holder acquired the interest through any such person, the owner or interest holder acquired it as a bona fide purchaser for value without knowingly taking part in the conduct giving rise to the forfeiture; and

(E) that the owner or interest holder acquired the interest:

(i) before the commencement of the conduct giving rise to its forfeiture and the person whose conduct gave rise to its forfeiture did not have the authority to convey the interest to a bona fide purchaser for value at the time of the conduct; or

(ii) after the commencement of the conduct giving rise to its forfeiture, and the owner or interest holder acquired the interest as a mortgagee, secured creditor, lien holder, or bona fide purchaser for value without knowledge of the conduct which gave rise to the forfeiture; and

(a) in the case of personal property, without knowledge of the seizure of the property for forfeiture; or

(b) in the case of real estate, before the filing

[Feb. 22, 2002]

in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of the county in which the real estate is located of a notice of seizure for forfeiture or a lis pendens notice.

(720 ILCS 5/29D-70 new)

Sec. 29D-70. Severability. If any clause, sentence, Section, provision, or part of this Article or the application thereof to any person or circumstance shall be adjudged to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this Article or its application to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected thereby.

Section 17. The Boarding Aircraft With Weapon Act is amended by changing Section 7 as follows:

(720 ILCS 545/7) (from Ch. 38, par. 84-7)

Sec. 7. Sentence. Violation of this Act is a Class 4 felony A misdemeanor.

(Source: P.A. 82-662.)

Section 20. The Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 is amended by changing Sections 108-4, 108A-6, 108B-1, 108B-2, 108B-3, 108B-4, 108B-5, 108B-7, 108B-8, 108B-9, 108B-10, 108B-11, 108B-12, and 108B-14 and adding Section 108B-7.5 as follows:

(725 ILCS 5/108-4) (from Ch. 38, par. 108-4)

Sec. 108-4. Issuance of search warrant.

(a) All warrants upon written complaint shall state the time and date of issuance and be the warrants of the judge issuing the same and not the warrants of the court in which he is then sitting and such warrants need not bear the seal of the court or clerk thereof. The complaint on which the warrant is issued need not be filed with the clerk of the court nor with the court if there is no clerk until the warrant has been executed or has been returned "not executed".

The search warrant upon written complaint may be issued electronically or electromagnetically by use of a facsimile transmission machine and any such warrant shall have the same validity as a written search warrant.

(b) Warrant upon oral testimony.

(1) General rule. When the offense in connection with which a search warrant is sought constitutes terrorism or any related offense as defined in Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, and if the circumstances make it reasonable to dispense, in whole or in part, with a written affidavit, a judge may issue a warrant based upon sworn testimony communicated by telephone or other appropriate means, including facsimile transmission.

(2) Application. The person who is requesting the warrant shall prepare a document to be known as a duplicate original warrant and shall read such duplicate original warrant, verbatim, to the judge. The judge shall enter, verbatim, what is so read to the judge on a document to be known as the original warrant. The judge may direct that the warrant be modified.

(3) Issuance. If the judge is satisfied that the offense in connection with which the search warrant is sought constitutes terrorism or any related offense as defined in Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, that the circumstances are such as to make it reasonable to dispense with a written affidavit, and that grounds for the application exist or that there is probable cause to believe that they exist, the judge shall order the issuance of a warrant by directing the person requesting the warrant to sign the judge's name on the duplicate original warrant. The judge shall immediately sign the original warrant and enter on the face of the original warrant the exact time when the warrant was ordered to be issued. The finding of probable cause for a warrant upon oral testimony may be based on the same kind of evidence as

[Feb. 22, 2002]

is sufficient for a warrant upon affidavit.

(4) Recording and certification of testimony. When a caller informs the judge that the purpose of the call is to request a warrant, the judge shall immediately place under oath each person whose testimony forms a basis of the application and each person applying for that warrant. If a voice recording device is available, the judge shall record by means of the device all of the call after the caller informs the judge that the purpose of the call is to request a warrant, otherwise a stenographic or longhand verbatim record shall be made. If a voice recording device is used or a stenographic record made, the judge shall have the record transcribed, shall certify the accuracy of the transcription, and shall file a copy of the original record and the transcription with the court. If a longhand verbatim record is made, the judge shall file a signed copy with the court.

(5) Contents. The contents of a warrant upon oral testimony shall be the same as the contents of a warrant upon affidavit.

(6) Additional rule for execution. The person who executes the warrant shall enter the exact time of execution on the face of the duplicate original warrant.

(7) Motion to suppress based on failure to obtain a written affidavit. Evidence obtained pursuant to a warrant issued under this subsection (b) is not subject to a motion to suppress on the ground that the circumstances were not such as to make it reasonable to dispense with a written affidavit, absent a finding of bad faith. All other grounds to move to suppress are preserved.

(8) This subsection (b) is inoperative on and after January 1, 2005.

(9) No evidence obtained pursuant to this subsection (b) shall be inadmissible in a court of law by virtue of subdivision (8).

(Source: P.A. 87-523.)

(725 ILCS 5/108A-6) (from Ch. 38, par. 108A-6)

Sec. 108A-6. Emergency Exception to Procedures. (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Article, any investigative or law enforcement officer, upon approval of a State's Attorney, or without it if a reasonable effort has been made to contact the appropriate State's Attorney, may use an eavesdropping device in an emergency situation as defined in this Section. Such use must be in accordance with the provisions of this Section and may be allowed only where the officer reasonably believes that an order permitting the use of the device would issue were there a prior hearing.

An emergency situation exists when, without previous notice to the law enforcement officer sufficient to obtain prior judicial approval, the conversation to be overheard or recorded will occur within a short period of time, the use of the device is necessary for the protection of the law enforcement officer or it will occur in a situation involving a clear and present danger of imminent death or great bodily harm to persons resulting from: (1) a kidnapping or the holding of a hostage by force or the threat of the imminent use of force; or (2) the occupation by force or the threat of the imminent use of force of any premises, place, vehicle, vessel or aircraft; or (3) any violation of Article 29D.

(b) In all such cases, an application for an order approving the previous or continuing use of an eavesdropping device must be made within 48 hours of the commencement of such use. In the absence of such an order, or upon its denial, any continuing use shall immediately terminate.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

In order to approve such emergency use, the judge must make a determination (1) that he would have granted an order had the information been before the court prior to the use of the device and (2) that there was an emergency situation as defined in this Section.

(c) In the event that an application for approval under this Section is denied the contents of the conversations overheard or recorded shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of this Article.

(Source: P.A. 86-763.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-1)

Sec. 108B-1. Definitions. For the purpose of this Article:

(a) "Aggrieved person" means a person who was a party to any intercepted private wire-~~er-oral~~ communication or any person against whom the intercept was directed.

(b) "Chief Judge" means, when referring to a judge authorized to receive application for, and to enter orders authorizing, interceptions of private ~~oral~~ communications, the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court wherein the application for order of interception is filed, or a Circuit Judge designated by the Chief Judge to enter these orders. In circuits other than the Cook County Circuit, "Chief Judge" also means, when referring to a judge authorized to receive application for, and to enter orders authorizing, interceptions of private ~~oral~~ communications, an Associate Judge authorized by Supreme Court Rule to try felony cases who is assigned by the Chief Judge to enter these orders. After assignment by the Chief Judge, an Associate Judge shall have plenary authority to issue orders without additional authorization for each specific application made to him by the State's Attorney until the time the Associate Judge's power is rescinded by the Chief Judge.

(c) "Communications common carrier" means any person engaged as a common carrier ~~for-hire~~ in the transmission of communications by wire or radio, not including radio broadcasting.

(d) "Contents" includes information obtained from a private ~~oral~~ communication concerning the existence, substance, purport or meaning of the communication, or the identity of a party of the communication.

(e) "Court of competent jurisdiction" means any circuit court.

(f) "Department" means Illinois Department of State Police.

(g) "Director" means Director of the Illinois Department of State Police.

(q-1) "Electronic communication" means any transfer of signs, signals, writing, images, sounds, data, or intelligence of any nature transmitted in whole or part by a wire, radio, pager, computer, or electromagnetic, photo electronic, or photo optical system where the sending and receiving parties intend the electronic communication to be private and the interception, recording, or transcription of the electronic communication is accomplished by a device in a surreptitious manner contrary to the provisions of this Article. "Electronic communication" does not include:

(1) any wire or oral communication; or

(2) any communication from a tracking device.

(h) "Electronic criminal surveillance device" or "eavesdropping device" means any device or apparatus, or computer program including an induction coil, that can be used to intercept private communication human-speech other than:

(1) Any telephone, telegraph or telecommunication instrument, equipment or facility, or any component of it, furnished to the subscriber or user by a communication common carrier in the ordinary course of its business, or purchased by any person and being used by the subscriber, user or person in

[Feb. 22, 2002]

the ordinary course of his business, or being used by a communications common carrier in the ordinary course of its business, or by an investigative or law enforcement officer in the ordinary course of his duties; or

(2) A hearing aid or similar device being used to correct subnormal hearing to not better than normal.

(i) "Electronic criminal surveillance officer" means any law enforcement officer of the United States or of the State or political subdivision of it, or of another State, or of a political subdivision of it, who is certified by the Illinois Department of State Police to intercept private oral communications.

(j) "In-progress trace" means to determine the origin of a wire communication to a telephone or telegraph instrument, equipment or facility during the course of the communication.

(k) "Intercept" means the aural or other acquisition of the contents of any private oral communication through the use of any electronic criminal surveillance device.

(l) "Journalist" means a person engaged in, connected with, or employed by news media, including newspapers, magazines, press associations, news agencies, wire services, radio, television or other similar media, for the purpose of gathering, processing, transmitting, compiling, editing or disseminating news for the general public.

(m) "Law enforcement agency" means any law enforcement agency of the United States, or the State or a political subdivision of it.

(n) "Oral communication" means human speech used to communicate by one party to another, in person, by wire communication or by any other means.

(o) "Private oral communication" means a wire, ~~or~~ oral, or electronic communication uttered or transmitted by a person exhibiting an expectation that the communication is not subject to interception, under circumstances reasonably justifying the expectation. Circumstances that reasonably justify the expectation that a communication is not subject to interception include the use of a cordless telephone or cellular communication device.

(p) "Wire communication" means any human speech used to communicate by one party to another in whole or in part through the use of facilities for the transmission of communications by wire, cable or other like connection between the point of origin and the point of reception furnished or operated by a communications common carrier.

(q) "Privileged communications" means a private oral communication between:

(1) a licensed and practicing physician and a patient within the scope of the profession of the physician;

(2) a licensed and practicing psychologist to a patient within the scope of the profession of the psychologist;

(3) a licensed and practicing attorney-at-law and a client within the scope of the profession of the lawyer;

(4) a practicing clergyman and a confidant within the scope of the profession of the clergyman;

(5) a practicing journalist within the scope of his profession;

(6) spouses within the scope of their marital relationship; or

(7) a licensed and practicing social worker to a client within the scope of the profession of the social worker.

(Source: P.A. 86-391; 86-763; 86-1028; 86-1206; 87-530.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-2) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-2)

Sec. 108B-2. Request for application for interception. (a) A

[Feb. 22, 2002]

State's Attorney may apply for an order authorizing interception of private oral communications in accordance with the provisions of this Article.

(b) The head of a law enforcement agency, including, for purposes of this subsection, the acting head of such law enforcement agency if the head of such agency is absent or unable to serve, may request that a State's Attorney apply for an order authorizing interception of private oral communications in accordance with the provisions of this Article.

Upon request of a law enforcement agency, the Department may provide technical assistance to such an agency which is authorized to conduct an interception.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-3)

Sec. 108B-3. Authorization for the interception of private oral communication.

(a) The State's Attorney, or a person designated in writing or by law to act for him and to perform his duties during his absence or disability, may authorize, in writing, an ex parte application to the chief judge of a court of competent jurisdiction for an order authorizing the interception of a private oral communication when no party has consented to the interception and (i) the interception may provide evidence of, or may assist in the apprehension of a person who has committed, is committing or is about to commit, a violation of Section 8-1.1 (solicitation of murder), 8-1.2 (solicitation of murder for hire), 9-1 (first degree murder), or 29B-1 (money laundering) of the Criminal Code of 1961, Section 401, 401.1 (controlled substance trafficking), 405, 405.1 (criminal drug conspiracy) or 407 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, a violation of Section 24-2.1, 24-2.2, 24-3, 24-3.1, 24-3.3, 24-3.4, 24-4, or 24-5 or subsection 24-1(a)(4), 24-1(a)(6), 24-1(a)(7), 24-1(a)(9), 24-1(a)(10), or 24-1(c) of the Criminal Code of 1961 or conspiracy to commit money laundering or conspiracy to commit first degree murder; (ii) in response to a clear and present danger of imminent death or great bodily harm to persons resulting from: (1) a kidnapping or the holding of a hostage by force or the threat of the imminent use of force; or (2) the occupation by force or the threat of the imminent use of force of any premises, place, vehicle, vessel or aircraft; (iii) to aid an investigation or prosecution of a civil action brought under the Illinois Streetgang Terrorism Omnibus Prevention Act when there is probable cause to believe the interception of the private oral communication will provide evidence that a streetgang is committing, has committed, or will commit a second or subsequent gang-related offense or that the interception of the private oral communication will aid in the collection of a judgment entered under that Act; or (iv) upon information and belief that a streetgang has committed, is committing, or is about to commit a felony.

(b) The State's Attorney or a person designated in writing or by law to act for the State's Attorney and to perform his or her duties during his or her absence or disability, may authorize, in writing, an ex parte application to the chief judge of a circuit court for an order authorizing the interception of a private communication when no party has consented to the interception and the interception may provide evidence of, or may assist in the apprehension of a person who has committed, is committing or is about to commit, a violation of an offense under Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961.

(b-1) Subsection (b) is inoperative on and after January 1, 2005.

(b-2) No conversations recorded or monitored pursuant to

[Feb. 22, 2002]



subsection (b) shall be made inadmissible in a court of law by virtue of subsection (b-1).

(c) As used in this Section, "streetgang" and "gang-related" have the meanings ascribed to them in Section 10 of the Illinois Streetgang Terrorism Omnibus Prevention Act.

(Source: P.A. 88-249; 88-677, eff. 12-15-94.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-4) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-4)

Sec. 108B-4. Application for order of interception. (a) Each application for an order of authorization to intercept a private oral communication shall be made in writing upon oath or affirmation and shall include:

- (1) The authority of the applicant to make the application;
- (2) The identity of the electronic criminal surveillance officer for whom the authority to intercept a private oral communication is sought;
- (3) The facts relied upon by the applicant including:
  - (i) The identity of the particular person, if known, who is committing, is about to commit, or has committed the offense and whose private communication is to be intercepted;
  - (ii) The details as to the particular offense that has been, is being, or is about to be committed;
  - (iii) The particular type of private communication to be intercepted;
  - (iv) Except as provided in Section 108B-7.5, a showing that there is probable cause to believe that the private communication will be communicated on the particular wire or electronic communication facility involved or at the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted;
  - (v) Except as provided in Section 108B-7.5, the character and location of the particular wire or electronic communication facilities involved or the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted;
  - (vi) The objective of the investigation;
  - (vii) A statement of the period of time for which the interception is required to be maintained, and, if the objective of the investigation is such that the authorization for interception should not automatically terminate when the described type of communication has been first obtained, a particular statement of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional communications of the same type will continue to occur;
  - (viii) A particular statement of facts showing that other normal investigative procedures with respect to the offense have been tried and have failed, or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried, or are too dangerous to employ;
- (4) Where the application is for the extension of an order, a statement of facts showing the results obtained from the interception, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain results;
- (5) A statement of the facts concerning all previous applications known to the applicant made to any court for authorization to intercept a private an--oral,--electronic,--or--wire communication involving any of the same facilities or places specified in the application or involving any person whose communication is to be intercepted, and the action taken by the court on each application;
- (6) A proposed order of authorization for consideration by the judge; and
- (7) Such additional statements of facts in support of the application on which the applicant may rely or as the chief judge may require.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(b) As part of the consideration of that part of an application for which there is no corroborative evidence offered, the chief judge may inquire in camera as to the identity of any informant or request any other additional information concerning the basis upon which the State's Attorney, or the head of the law enforcement agency has relied in making an application or a request for application for the order of authorization which the chief judge finds relevant to the determination of probable cause under this Article.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-5) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-5)

Sec. 108B-5. Requirements for order of interception. Upon consideration of an application, the chief judge may enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, authorizing the interception of a private oral communication, if the chief judge determines on the basis of the application submitted by the applicant, that:

(1) There is probable cause for belief that (a) the person whose private communication is to be intercepted is committing, has committed, or is about to commit an offense enumerated in Section 108B-3, or (b) the facilities from which, or the place where, the private oral communication is to be intercepted, is, has been, or is about to be used in connection with the commission of the offense, or is leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by, the person; and

(2) There is probable cause for belief that a particular private communication concerning such offense may be obtained through the interception; and

(3) Normal investigative procedures with respect to the offense have been tried and have failed or reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or too dangerous to employ; and

(4) The electronic criminal surveillance officers to be authorized to supervise the interception of the private oral communication have been certified by the Department.

(b) In the case of an application, other than for an extension, for an order to intercept a communication of a person or on a wire communication facility that was the subject of a previous order authorizing interception, the application shall be based upon new evidence or information different from and in addition to the evidence or information offered to support the prior order, regardless of whether the evidence was derived from prior interceptions or from other sources.

(c) The chief judge may authorize interception of a private oral communication anywhere in the judicial circuit. If the court authorizes the use of an eavesdropping device with respect to a vehicle, watercraft, or aircraft that is within the judicial circuit at the time the order is issued, the order may provide that the interception may continue anywhere within the State if the vehicle, watercraft, or aircraft leaves the judicial circuit.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-7) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-7)

Sec. 108B-7. Contents of order for use of eavesdropping device.

(a) Each order authorizing the interception of a private oral communication shall state:

(1) The chief judge is authorized to issue the order;

(2) The identity of, or a particular description of, the person, if known, whose private communications are to be intercepted;

(3) The character and location of the particular wire communication facilities as to which, or the particular place of the communications as to which, authority to intercept is granted;

(4) A particular description of the type of private

[Feb. 22, 2002]

communication to be intercepted and a statement of the particular offense to which it relates;

(5) The identity and certification of the electronic criminal surveillance officers to whom the authority to intercept a private oral communication is given and the identity of the person who authorized the application; and

(6) The period of time during which the interception is authorized, including a statement as to whether or not the interception shall automatically terminate when the described communication has been first obtained.

(b) No order entered under this Section shall authorize the interception of private oral communications for a period of time in excess of that necessary to achieve the objective of the authorization. Every order entered under this Section shall require that the interception begin and terminate as soon as practicable and be conducted in such a manner as to minimize the interception of communications not otherwise subject to interception. No order, other than for an extension, entered under this Section may authorize the interception of private oral communications for any period exceeding 30 days. Extensions of an order may be granted for periods of not more than 30 days. No extension shall be granted unless an application for it is made in accordance with Section 108B-4 and the judge makes the findings required by Section 108B-5 and, where necessary, Section 108B-6.

(c) Whenever an order authorizing an interception is entered, the order shall require reports to be made to the chief judge who issued the order showing what progress has been made toward achievement of the authorized objective and the need for continued interception. The reports shall be made at such intervals as the judge may require.

(d) An order authorizing the interception of a private oral communication shall, upon request of the applicant, direct that a communications common carrier, landlord, owner, building operator, custodian, or other person furnish the applicant forthwith all information, facilities and technical assistance necessary to accomplish the interception unobtrusively and with a minimum of interference with the services that the carrier, owner, building operator, landlord, custodian, or person is affording the person whose communication is to be intercepted. The obligation of a communications common carrier under the order may include conducting an in-progress trace during an interception. Any communications common carrier, landlord, owner, building operator, custodian, or person furnishing the facilities or technical assistance shall be compensated by the applicant at the prevailing rates.

(e) A communications common carrier, landlord, owner, building operator, custodian, or other person who has been provided with an order issued under this Article shall not disclose the existence of the order of interception, or of a device used to accomplish the interception unless:

(1) He is required to do so by legal process; and

(2) He has given prior notification to the State's Attorney, who has authorized the application for the order.

(f) An order authorizing the interception of a private oral communication shall, upon the request of the applicant, authorize the entry into the place or facilities by electronic criminal surveillance officers as often as necessary for the purpose of installing, maintaining or removing an intercepting device where the entry is necessary to conduct or complete the interception. The chief judge who issues the order shall be notified of the fact of each entry prior to entry, if practicable, and, in any case, within

[Feb. 22, 2002]

48 hours of entry.

(g) (1) Notwithstanding any provision of this Article, any chief judge of a court of competent jurisdiction to which any application is made under this Article may take any evidence, make any finding, or issue any order to conform the proceedings or the issuance of any order to the Constitution of the United States, or of any law of the United States or to the Constitution of the State of Illinois or to the laws of Illinois.

(2) When the language of this Article is the same or similar to the language of Title III of P.L. 90-351 (82 Stat. 211 et seq., codified at, 18 U.S.C. 2510 et seq.), the courts of this State in construing this Article shall follow the construction given to Federal law by the United States Supreme Court or United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-7.5 new)

Sec. 108B-7.5. Applicability.

(a) The requirements of subdivisions (a)(3)(iv) and (a)(3)(v) of Section 108B-4, subdivision (1)(b) of Section 108B-5, and subdivision (a)(3) of Section 108B-7 of this Article relating to the specification of the facilities from which, or the place where, the communication is to be intercepted do not apply if:

(1) in the case of an application with respect to the interception of an oral communication:

(A) the application is by the State's Attorney, or a person designated in writing or by law to act for the State's Attorney and to perform his or her duties during his or her absence or disability;

(B) the application contains a full and complete statement as to why such specification is not practical and identifies the person committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted;

(C) the judge finds that such specification is not practical; and

(D) the order sought is in connection with an investigation of a violation of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961.

(2) in the case of an application with respect to a wire or electronic communication:

(A) the application is by the State's Attorney, or a person designated in writing or by law to act for the State's Attorney and to perform his or her duties during his or her absence or disability;

(B) the application identifies the person believed to be committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted and the applicant makes a showing that there is probable cause to believe that the person's actions could have the effect of thwarting interception from a specified facility;

(C) the judge finds that such showing has been adequately made;

(D) the order authorizing or approving the interception is limited to interception only for such time as it is reasonable to presume that the person identified in the application is or was reasonably proximate to the instrument through which such communication will be or was transmitted; and

(E) the order sought is in connection with an investigation of a violation of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(b) An interception of a communication under an order with respect to which the requirements of subdivisions (a)(3)(iv) and (a)(3)(v) of Section 108B-4, subdivision (1)(b) of Section 108B-5, and subdivision (a)(3) of Section 108B-7 of this Article do not apply by reason of this Section shall not begin until the place where the communication is to be intercepted is ascertained by the person implementing the interception order. A provider of wire or electronic communications service that has received an order as provided for in subdivision (a)(2) may upon notice to the People move the court to modify or quash the order on the ground that its assistance with respect to the interception cannot be performed in a timely or reasonable fashion. The court shall decide such a motion expeditiously.

(725 ILCS 5/108B-8) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-8)

Sec. 108B-8. Emergency use of eavesdropping device. (a) Whenever, upon informal application by the State's Attorney, a chief judge of competent jurisdiction determines that:

(1) There may be grounds upon which an order could be issued under this Article;

(2) There is probable cause to believe that an emergency situation exists with respect to the investigation of an offense enumerated in Section 108B-3; and

(3) There is probable cause to believe that a substantial danger to life or limb exists justifying the authorization for immediate interception of a private oral communication before formal application for an order could with due diligence be submitted to him and acted upon; the chief judge may grant oral approval for an interception, without an order, conditioned upon the filing with him, within 48 hours, of an application for an order under Section 108B-4 which shall also recite the oral approval under this Section and be retroactive to the time of the oral approval.

(b) Interception under oral approval under this Section shall immediately terminate when the communication sought is obtained or when the application for an order is denied, whichever is earlier.

(c) In the event no formal application for an order is subsequently made under this Section, the content of any private oral communication intercepted under oral approval under this Section shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of this Article.

(d) In the event no application for an order is made under this Section or an application made under this Section is subsequently denied, the judge shall cause an inventory to be served under Section 108B-11 of this Article and shall require the tape or other recording of the intercepted communication to be delivered to, and sealed by, the judge. The evidence shall be retained by the court, and it shall not be used or disclosed in any legal proceeding, except a civil action brought by an aggrieved person under Section 14-6 of the Criminal Code of 1961, or as otherwise authorized by the order of a court of competent jurisdiction. In addition to other remedies or penalties provided by law, failure to deliver any tape or other recording to the chief judge shall be punishable as contempt by the judge directing the delivery.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-9) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-9)

Sec. 108B-9. Recordings, records and custody.

(a) Any private oral communication intercepted in accordance with this Article shall, if practicable, be recorded by tape or other comparable method. The recording shall, if practicable, be done in such a way as will protect it from editing or other alteration. During an interception, the interception shall be carried out by an

[Feb. 22, 2002]

electronic criminal surveillance officer, and, if practicable, such officer shall keep a signed, written record, including:

- (1) The date and hours of surveillance;
- (2) The time and duration of each intercepted communication;
- (3) The parties, if known, to each intercepted conversation; and
- (4) A summary of the contents of each intercepted communication.

(b) Immediately upon the expiration of the order or its extensions, the tapes and other recordings shall be transferred to the chief judge issuing the order and sealed under his direction. Custody of the tapes, or other recordings, shall be maintained wherever the chief judge directs. They shall not be destroyed except upon an order of a court of competent jurisdiction and in any event shall be kept for 10 years. Duplicate tapes or other recordings may be made for disclosure or use under paragraph (a) of Section 108B-2a of this Article. The presence of the seal provided by this Section, or a satisfactory explanation for its absence, shall be a prerequisite for the disclosure of the contents of any private oral communication, or evidence derived from it, under paragraph (b) of Section 108B-2a of this Article.

(Source: P.A. 86-763.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-10) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-10)

Sec. 108B-10. Applications, orders, and custody.

(a) Applications made and orders granted under this Article for the interception of private oral communications shall be sealed by the chief judge issuing or denying them and held in custody as the judge shall direct. The applications and orders shall be kept for a period of 10 years. Destruction of the applications and orders prior to the expiration of that period of time may be made only upon the order of a court of competent jurisdiction. Disclosure of the applications and orders may be ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction on a showing of good cause.

(b) The electronic criminal surveillance officer shall retain a copy of applications and orders for the interception of private oral communications. The applications and orders shall be kept for a period of 10 years. Destruction of the applications and orders prior to the expiration of that period of time may be made only upon an order of a court of competent jurisdiction. Disclosure and use of the applications and orders may be made by an electronic criminal surveillance officer only in the proper performance of his official duties.

(c) In addition to any other remedies or penalties provided by law, any violation of this Section shall be punishable as contempt of court.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-11) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-11)

Sec. 108B-11. Inventory.

(a) Within a reasonable period of time but not later than 90 days after the termination of the period of the order, or its extensions, or the date of the denial of an application made under Section 108B-8, the chief judge issuing or denying the order or extension shall cause an inventory to be served on any person:

- (1) Named in the order;
- (2) Arrested as a result of the interception of his private oral communication;

(3) Indicted or otherwise charged as a result of the interception of his private oral communication;

(4) Any person whose private oral communication was intercepted and who the judge issuing or denying the order or application may in his discretion determine should be informed in the interest of justice.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

- (b) The inventory under this Section shall include:
- (1) Notice of the entry of the order or the application for an order denied under Section 108B-8;
  - (2) The date of the entry of the order or the denial of an order applied for under Section 108B-8;
  - (3) The period of authorized or disapproved interception; and
  - (4) The fact that during the period a private oral communication was or was not intercepted.
- (c) A court of competent jurisdiction, upon filing of a motion, may in its discretion make available to those persons or their attorneys for inspection those portions of the intercepted communications, applications and orders as the court determines to be in the interest of justice.
- (d) On an ex parte showing of good cause to a court of competent jurisdiction, the serving of the inventories required by this Section may be postponed for a period not to exceed 12 months.  
(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)
- (725 ILCS 5/108B-12) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-12)  
Sec. 108B-12. Approval, notice, suppression.
- (a) If an electronic criminal surveillance officer, while intercepting a private oral communication in accordance with the provision of this Article, intercepts a private oral communication that relates to an offense other than an offense enumerated in Section 108B-3 of the Act, or relates to an offense enumerated in Section 108B-3 but not specified in the order of authorization, the State's Attorney, or a person designated in writing or by law to act for him, may, in order to permit the disclosure or use of the information under Section 108B-2a of this Act, make a motion for an order approving the interception. The chief judge of a court of competent jurisdiction shall enter an order approving the interception if he finds that at the time of the application, there existed probable cause to believe that a person whose private oral communication was intercepted was committing or had committed an offense and the content of the communication relates to that offense, and that the communication was otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this Article.
- (b) An intercepted private oral communication, or evidence derived from it, may not be received in evidence or otherwise disclosed in an official proceeding unless each aggrieved person who is a party in the official proceeding, including any proceeding before a legislative, judicial, administrative or other governmental agency or official authorized to hear evidence under oath or other person taking testimony or depositions in any such proceeding, other than a grand jury, has, not less than 10 days before the official proceeding, been furnished with a copy of the court order, and the accompanying application, under which the interception was authorized or approved. The 10 day period may be waived by the presiding official if he finds that it was not practicable to furnish the person with the information 10 days before the proceeding, and that the person will not be or has not been prejudiced by delay in receiving the information.
- (c) An aggrieved person in an official proceeding may make a motion under this Section to suppress the contents of an intercepted private oral communication, or evidence derived from it, on the grounds that:
- (1) The communication was unlawfully intercepted;
  - (2) The order of authorization or approval under which it was intercepted is insufficient on its face; or
  - (3) The interception was not made in conformity with the order of authorization or approval or at the time of the application there

[Feb. 22, 2002]

was not probable cause to believe that the aggrieved person was committing or had committed the offense to which the content of the private communication relates.

(d) If a motion under this Section duly alleges that the evidence sought to be suppressed in an official proceeding, including a grand jury, has been derived from an unlawfully intercepted private oral communication, and if the aggrieved person who is a party has not been served with notice of the interception under this Section, the opponent of the allegation shall, after conducting a thorough search of its files, affirm or deny the occurrence of the alleged unlawful interception, but no motion shall be considered if the alleged unlawful interception took place more than 5 years before the event to which the evidence relates.

(e) Where a motion is duly made under this Section prior to the appearance of a witness before a grand jury, the opponent of the motion may make such applications and orders as it has available to the chief judge of a court of competent jurisdiction in camera, and if the judge determines that there is no defect in them sufficient on its face to render them invalid, the judge shall inform the witness that he has not been the subject of an unlawful interception. If the judge determines that there is a defect in them sufficient on its face to render them invalid, he shall enter an order prohibiting any question being put to the witness based on the unlawful interception.

(f) Motions under this Section shall be made prior to the official proceeding unless there was no opportunity to make the motion or unless the aggrieved person who is a party was not aware of the grounds for the motion. Motions by co-indictees shall, on motion of the People, be heard in a single consolidated hearing.

(g) A chief judge of a court of competent jurisdiction, upon the filing of a motion by an aggrieved person who is a party under this Section, except before a grand jury, may make available for inspection by the aggrieved person or his attorney such portions of the intercepted private communications, applications and orders or the evidence derived from them as the judge determines to be in the interest of justice.

(h) If a motion under this Section is granted, the intercepted private oral communication, and evidence derived from it, may not be received in evidence in an official proceeding, including a grand jury.

(i) In addition to any other right of appeal, the People shall have the right to appeal from an order granting a motion to suppress if the official to whom the order authorizing the interception was granted certifies to the court that the appeal is not taken for purposes of delay. The appeal shall otherwise be taken in accordance with the law.

(Source: P.A. 85-1203.)

(725 ILCS 5/108B-14) (from Ch. 38, par. 108B-14)

Sec. 108B-14. Training.

(a) The Director of the Illinois Department of State Police shall:

(1) Establish a course of training in the legal, practical, and technical aspects of the interception of private oral communications and related investigation and prosecution techniques;

(2) Issue regulations as he finds necessary for the training program;

(3) In cooperation with the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board, set minimum standards for certification and periodic recertification of electronic criminal surveillance officers as eligible to apply for orders authorizing the

[Feb. 22, 2002]



interception of private oral communications, to conduct the interceptions, and to use the private communications or evidence derived from them in official proceedings; and

(4) In cooperation with the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board, revoke or suspend the certification of any electronic criminal surveillance officer who has violated any law relating to electronic criminal surveillance, or any of the guidelines established by the Department for conducting electronic criminal surveillance.

(b) The Executive Director of the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board shall:

(1) Pursuant to the Illinois Police Training Act, review the course of training prescribed by the Department for the purpose of certification relating to reimbursement of expenses incurred by local law enforcement agencies participating in the electronic criminal surveillance officer training process, and

(2) Assist the Department in establishing minimum standards for certification and periodic recertification of electronic criminal surveillance officers as being eligible to apply for orders authorizing the interception of private oral communications, to conduct the interpretations, and to use the communications or evidence derived from them in official proceedings.

(Source: P.A. 88-586, eff. 8-12-94.)

Section 21. The Statewide Grand Jury Act is amended by changing Sections 2, 3, 4, and 10 as follows:

(725 ILCS 215/2) (from Ch. 38, par. 1702)

Sec. 2. (a) County grand juries and State's Attorneys have always had and shall continue to have primary responsibility for investigating, indicting, and prosecuting persons who violate the criminal laws of the State of Illinois. However, in recent years organized terrorist activity directed against innocent civilians and certain criminal enterprises have developed that require investigation, indictment, and prosecution on a statewide or multicounty level. The criminal These enterprises exist as a result of the allure of profitability present in narcotic activity, the unlawful sale and transfer of firearms, and streetgang related felonies and organized terrorist activity is supported by the contribution of money and expert assistance from geographically diverse sources. In order to shut off the life blood of terrorism and weaken or eliminate the criminal these enterprises, assets, and property used to further these offenses must be frozen, and any the profit must be removed. State statutes exist that can accomplish that goal. Among them are the offense of money laundering, the Cannabis and Controlled Substances Tax Act, violations of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act, and gunrunning. Local prosecutors need investigative personnel and specialized training to attack and eliminate these profits. In light of the transitory and complex nature of conduct that constitutes these criminal activities, the many diverse property interests that may be used, acquired directly or indirectly as a result of these criminal activities, and the many places that illegally obtained property may be located, it is the purpose of this Act to create a limited, multicounty Statewide Grand Jury with authority to investigate, indict, and prosecute: narcotic activity, including cannabis and controlled substance trafficking, narcotics racketeering, money laundering, and violations of the Cannabis and Controlled Substances Tax Act, and violations of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961; the unlawful sale and transfer of firearms; gunrunning; and streetgang related felonies.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(b) A Statewide Grand Jury may also investigate, indict, and prosecute violations facilitated by the use of a computer of any of the following offenses: indecent solicitation of a child, sexual exploitation of a child, soliciting for a juvenile prostitute, keeping a place of juvenile prostitution, juvenile pimping, or child pornography.

(Source: P.A. 91-225, eff. 1-1-00.)

(725 ILCS 215/3) (from Ch. 38, par. 1703)

Sec. 3. Written application for the appointment of a Circuit Judge to convene and preside over a Statewide Grand Jury, with jurisdiction extending throughout the State, shall be made to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. Upon such written application, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall appoint a Circuit Judge from the circuit where the Statewide Grand Jury is being sought to be convened, who shall make a determination that the convening of a Statewide Grand Jury is necessary.

In such application the Attorney General shall state that the convening of a Statewide Grand Jury is necessary because of an alleged offense or offenses set forth in this Section involving more than one county of the State and identifying any such offense alleged; and

(a) that he or she believes that the grand jury function for the investigation and indictment of the offense or offenses cannot effectively be performed by a county grand jury together with the reasons for such belief, and

(b)(1) that each State's Attorney with jurisdiction over an offense or offenses to be investigated has consented to the impaneling of the Statewide Grand Jury, or

(2) if one or more of the State's Attorneys having jurisdiction over an offense or offenses to be investigated fails to consent to the impaneling of the Statewide Grand Jury, the Attorney General shall set forth good cause for impaneling the Statewide Grand Jury.

If the Circuit Judge determines that the convening of a Statewide Grand Jury is necessary, he or she shall convene and impanel the Statewide Grand Jury with jurisdiction extending throughout the State to investigate and return indictments:

(a) For violations of any of the following or for any other criminal offense committed in the course of violating any of the following: Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, the Cannabis Control Act, the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act, or the Cannabis and Controlled Substances Tax Act; a streetgang related felony offense; Section 24-2.1, 24-2.2, 24-3, 24-3A, 24-3.1, 24-3.3, 24-3.4, 24-4, or 24-5 or subsection 24-1(a)(4), 24-1(a)(6), 24-1(a)(7), 24-1(a)(9), 24-1(a)(10), or 24-1(c) of the Criminal Code of 1961; or a money laundering offense; provided that the violation or offense involves acts occurring in more than one county of this State; and

(a-5) For violations facilitated by the use of a computer, including the use of the Internet, the World Wide Web, electronic mail, message board, newsgroup, or any other commercial or noncommercial on-line service, of any of the following offenses: indecent solicitation of a child, sexual exploitation of a child, soliciting for a juvenile prostitute, keeping a place of juvenile prostitution, juvenile pimping, or child pornography; and

(b) For the offenses of perjury, subornation of perjury, communicating with jurors and witnesses, and harassment of jurors and witnesses, as they relate to matters before the Statewide Grand Jury.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

"Streetgang related" has the meaning ascribed to it in Section 10 of the Illinois Streetgang Terrorism Omnibus Prevention Act.

Upon written application by the Attorney General for the convening of an additional Statewide Grand Jury, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall appoint a Circuit Judge from the circuit for which the additional Statewide Grand Jury is sought. The Circuit Judge shall determine the necessity for an additional Statewide Grand Jury in accordance with the provisions of this Section. No more than 2 Statewide Grand Juries may be empaneled at any time.

(Source: P.A. 91-225, eff. 1-1-00; 91-947, eff. 2-9-01.)

(725 ILCS 215/4) (from Ch. 38, par. 1704)

Sec. 4. (a) The presiding judge of the Statewide Grand Jury will receive recommendations from the Attorney General as to the county in which the Grand Jury will sit. Prior to making the recommendations, the Attorney General shall obtain the permission of the local State's Attorney to use his or her county for the site of the Statewide Grand Jury. Upon receiving the Attorney General's recommendations, the presiding judge will choose one of those recommended locations as the site where the Grand Jury shall sit.

Any indictment by a Statewide Grand Jury shall be returned to the Circuit Judge presiding over the Statewide Grand Jury and shall include a finding as to the county or counties in which the alleged offense was committed. Thereupon, the judge shall, by order, designate the county of venue for the purpose of trial. The judge may also, by order, direct the consolidation of an indictment returned by a county grand jury with an indictment returned by the Statewide Grand Jury and set venue for trial.

(b) Venue for purposes of trial for the offense of narcotics racketeering shall be proper in any county where:

(1) Cannabis or a controlled substance which is the basis for the charge of narcotics racketeering was used; acquired; transferred or distributed to, from or through; or any county where any act was performed to further the use; acquisition, transfer or distribution of said cannabis or controlled substance; or

(2) Any money, property, property interest, or any other asset generated by narcotics activities was acquired, used, sold, transferred or distributed to, from or through; or,

(3) Any enterprise interest obtained as a result of narcotics racketeering was acquired, used, transferred or distributed to, from or through, or where any activity was conducted by the enterprise or any conduct to further the interests of such an enterprise.

(c) Venue for purposes of trial for the offense of money laundering shall be proper in any county where any part of a financial transaction in criminally derived property took place, or in any county where any money or monetary interest which is the basis for the offense, was acquired, used, sold, transferred or distributed to, from, or through.

(d) A person who commits the offense of cannabis trafficking or controlled substance trafficking may be tried in any county.

(e) Venue for purposes of trial for any violation of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961 may be in the county in which an act of terrorism occurs, the county in which material support or resources are provided or solicited, the county in which criminal assistance is rendered, or any county in which any act in furtherance of any violation of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961 occurs.

(Source: P.A. 87-466.)

(725 ILCS 215/10) (from Ch. 38, par. 1710)

Sec. 10. The Attorney General shall, at the earliest

[Feb. 22, 2002]

opportunity, upon initiation of Grand Jury action, consult with and advise the State's Attorney of any county involved in a Statewide Grand Jury terrorist or narcotics investigation. Further, the State's Attorney may attend the Grand Jury proceedings or the trial of any party being investigated or indicted by the Statewide Grand Jury, and may assist in the prosecution, which in his or her judgment, is in the interest of the people of his or her county. Prior to granting transactional immunity to any witness before the Statewide Grand Jury, any State's Attorney with jurisdiction over the offense or offenses being investigated by the Statewide Grand Jury must consent to the granting of immunity to the witness. Prior to granting use immunity to any witness before the Statewide Grand Jury, the Attorney General shall consult with any State's Attorney with jurisdiction over the offense or offenses being investigated by the Statewide Grand Jury.

(Source: P.A. 87-466.)

Section 25. The Unified Code of Corrections is amended by changing Sections 3-6-3 and 5-4-3 as follows:

(730 ILCS 5/3-6-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 1003-6-3)

Sec. 3-6-3. Rules and Regulations for Early Release.

(a) (1) The Department of Corrections shall prescribe rules and regulations for the early release on account of good conduct of persons committed to the Department which shall be subject to review by the Prisoner Review Board.

(2) The rules and regulations on early release shall provide, with respect to offenses committed on or after June 19, 1998, the following:

(i) that a prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment for first degree murder or for the offense of terrorism shall receive no good conduct credit and shall serve the entire sentence imposed by the court;

(ii) that a prisoner serving a sentence for attempt to commit first degree murder, solicitation of murder, solicitation of murder for hire, intentional homicide of an unborn child, predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, criminal sexual assault, aggravated kidnapping, aggravated battery with a firearm, heinous battery, aggravated battery of a senior citizen, or aggravated battery of a child shall receive no more than 4.5 days of good conduct credit for each month of his or her sentence of imprisonment; and

(iii) that a prisoner serving a sentence for home invasion, armed robbery, aggravated vehicular hijacking, aggravated discharge of a firearm, or armed violence with a category I weapon or category II weapon, when the court has made and entered a finding, pursuant to subsection (c-1) of Section 5-4-1 of this Code, that the conduct leading to conviction for the enumerated offense resulted in great bodily harm to a victim, shall receive no more than 4.5 days of good conduct credit for each month of his or her sentence of imprisonment.

(2.1) For all offenses, other than those enumerated in subdivision (a)(2) committed on or after June 19, 1998, and other than the offense of reckless homicide as defined in subsection (e) of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 committed on or after January 1, 1999, the rules and regulations shall provide that a prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment shall receive one day of good conduct credit for each day of his or her sentence of imprisonment or recommitment under Section 3-3-9. Each day of good conduct credit shall reduce by one day the

[Feb. 22, 2002]

prisoner's period of imprisonment or recommitment under Section 3-3-9.

(2.2) A prisoner serving a term of natural life imprisonment or a prisoner who has been sentenced to death shall receive no good conduct credit.

(2.3) The rules and regulations on early release shall provide that a prisoner who is serving a sentence for reckless homicide as defined in subsection (e) of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 committed on or after January 1, 1999 shall receive no more than 4.5 days of good conduct credit for each month of his or her sentence of imprisonment.

(2.4) The rules and regulations on early release shall provide with respect to the offenses of aggravated battery with a machine gun or a firearm equipped with any device or attachment designed or used for silencing the report of a firearm or aggravated discharge of a machine gun or a firearm equipped with any device or attachment designed or used for silencing the report of a firearm, committed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1999, that a prisoner serving a sentence for any of these offenses shall receive no more than 4.5 days of good conduct credit for each month of his or her sentence of imprisonment.

(2.5) The rules and regulations on early release shall provide that a prisoner who is serving a sentence for aggravated arson committed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly shall receive no more than 4.5 days of good conduct credit for each month of his or her sentence of imprisonment.

(3) The rules and regulations shall also provide that the Director may award up to 180 days additional good conduct credit for meritorious service in specific instances as the Director deems proper; except that no more than 90 days of good conduct credit for meritorious service shall be awarded to any prisoner who is serving a sentence for conviction of first degree murder, reckless homicide while under the influence of alcohol or any other drug, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, criminal sexual assault, deviate sexual assault, aggravated criminal sexual abuse, aggravated indecent liberties with a child, indecent liberties with a child, child pornography, heinous battery, aggravated battery of a spouse, aggravated battery of a spouse with a firearm, stalking, aggravated stalking, aggravated battery of a child, endangering the life or health of a child, cruelty to a child, or narcotic racketeering. Notwithstanding the foregoing, good conduct credit for meritorious service shall not be awarded on a sentence of imprisonment imposed for conviction of: (i) one of the offenses enumerated in subdivision (a)(2) when the offense is committed on or after June 19, 1998, (ii) reckless homicide as defined in subsection (e) of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 when the offense is committed on or after January 1, 1999, (iii) one of the offenses enumerated in subdivision (a)(2.4) when the offense is committed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1999, or (iv) aggravated arson when the offense is committed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 92nd General Assembly.

(4) The rules and regulations shall also provide that the good conduct credit accumulated and retained under paragraph (2.1) of subsection (a) of this Section by any inmate during specific periods of time in which such inmate is engaged

[Feb. 22, 2002]

full-time in substance abuse programs, correctional industry assignments, or educational programs provided by the Department under this paragraph (4) and satisfactorily completes the assigned program as determined by the standards of the Department, shall be multiplied by a factor of 1.25 for program participation before August 11, 1993 and 1.50 for program participation on or after that date. However, no inmate shall be eligible for the additional good conduct credit under this paragraph (4) while assigned to a boot camp, mental health unit, or electronic detention, or if convicted of an offense enumerated in paragraph (a)(2) of this Section that is committed on or after June 19, 1998, or if convicted of reckless homicide as defined in subsection (e) of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 if the offense is committed on or after January 1, 1999, or if convicted of an offense enumerated in paragraph (a)(2.4) of this Section that is committed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1999, or first degree murder, a Class X felony, criminal sexual assault, felony criminal sexual abuse, aggravated criminal sexual abuse, aggravated battery with a firearm, or any predecessor or successor offenses with the same or substantially the same elements, or any inchoate offenses relating to the foregoing offenses. No inmate shall be eligible for the additional good conduct credit under this paragraph (4) who (i) has previously received increased good conduct credit under this paragraph (4) and has subsequently been convicted of a felony, or (ii) has previously served more than one prior sentence of imprisonment for a felony in an adult correctional facility.

Educational, vocational, substance abuse and correctional industry programs under which good conduct credit may be increased under this paragraph (4) shall be evaluated by the Department on the basis of documented standards. The Department shall report the results of these evaluations to the Governor and the General Assembly by September 30th of each year. The reports shall include data relating to the recidivism rate among program participants.

Availability of these programs shall be subject to the limits of fiscal resources appropriated by the General Assembly for these purposes. Eligible inmates who are denied immediate admission shall be placed on a waiting list under criteria established by the Department. The inability of any inmate to become engaged in any such programs by reason of insufficient program resources or for any other reason established under the rules and regulations of the Department shall not be deemed a cause of action under which the Department or any employee or agent of the Department shall be liable for damages to the inmate.

(5) Whenever the Department is to release any inmate earlier than it otherwise would because of a grant of good conduct credit for meritorious service given at any time during the term, the Department shall give reasonable advance notice of the impending release to the State's Attorney of the county where the prosecution of the inmate took place.

(b) Whenever a person is or has been committed under several convictions, with separate sentences, the sentences shall be construed under Section 5-8-4 in granting and forfeiting of good time.

(c) The Department shall prescribe rules and regulations for revoking good conduct credit, or suspending or reducing the rate of accumulation of good conduct credit for specific rule violations, during imprisonment. These rules and regulations shall provide that

[Feb. 22, 2002]

no inmate may be penalized more than one year of good conduct credit for any one infraction.

When the Department seeks to revoke, suspend or reduce the rate of accumulation of any good conduct credits for an alleged infraction of its rules, it shall bring charges therefor against the prisoner sought to be so deprived of good conduct credits before the Prisoner Review Board as provided in subparagraph (a)(4) of Section 3-3-2 of this Code, if the amount of credit at issue exceeds 30 days or when during any 12 month period, the cumulative amount of credit revoked exceeds 30 days except where the infraction is committed or discovered within 60 days of scheduled release. In those cases, the Department of Corrections may revoke up to 30 days of good conduct credit. The Board may subsequently approve the revocation of additional good conduct credit, if the Department seeks to revoke good conduct credit in excess of 30 days. However, the Board shall not be empowered to review the Department's decision with respect to the loss of 30 days of good conduct credit within any calendar year for any prisoner or to increase any penalty beyond the length requested by the Department.

The Director of the Department of Corrections, in appropriate cases, may restore up to 30 days good conduct credits which have been revoked, suspended or reduced. Any restoration of good conduct credits in excess of 30 days shall be subject to review by the Prisoner Review Board. However, the Board may not restore good conduct credit in excess of the amount requested by the Director.

Nothing contained in this Section shall prohibit the Prisoner Review Board from ordering, pursuant to Section 3-3-9(a)(3)(i)(B), that a prisoner serve up to one year of the sentence imposed by the court that was not served due to the accumulation of good conduct credit.

(d) If a lawsuit is filed by a prisoner in an Illinois or federal court against the State, the Department of Corrections, or the Prisoner Review Board, or against any of their officers or employees, and the court makes a specific finding that a pleading, motion, or other paper filed by the prisoner is frivolous, the Department of Corrections shall conduct a hearing to revoke up to 180 days of good conduct credit by bringing charges against the prisoner sought to be deprived of the good conduct credits before the Prisoner Review Board as provided in subparagraph (a)(8) of Section 3-3-2 of this Code. If the prisoner has not accumulated 180 days of good conduct credit at the time of the finding, then the Prisoner Review Board may revoke all good conduct credit accumulated by the prisoner.

For purposes of this subsection (d):

(1) "Frivolous" means that a pleading, motion, or other filing which purports to be a legal document filed by a prisoner in his or her lawsuit meets any or all of the following criteria:

(A) it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact;

(B) it is being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation;

(C) the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions therein are not warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law;

(D) the allegations and other factual contentions do not have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are not likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; or

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(E) the denials of factual contentions are not warranted on the evidence, or if specifically so identified, are not reasonably based on a lack of information or belief.

(2) "Lawsuit" means a petition for post-conviction relief under Article 122 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, a motion pursuant to Section 116-3 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, a habeas corpus action under Article X of the Code of Civil Procedure or under federal law (28 U.S.C. 2254), a petition for claim under the Court of Claims Act or an action under the federal Civil Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 1983).

(e) Nothing in this amendatory Act of 1998 affects the validity of Public Act 89-404.

(Source: P.A. 91-121, eff. 7-15-99; 91-357, eff. 7-29-99; 92-176, eff. 7-27-01.)

(730 ILCS 5/5-4-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 1005-4-3)

Sec. 5-4-3. Persons convicted of, or found delinquent for, qualifying offenses or institutionalized as sexually dangerous; blood specimens; genetic marker groups.

(a) Any person convicted of, found guilty under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 for, or who received a disposition of court supervision for, a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense, or institutionalized as a sexually dangerous person under the Sexually Dangerous Persons Act, or committed as a sexually violent person under the Sexually Violent Persons Commitment Act shall, regardless of the sentence or disposition imposed, be required to submit specimens of blood to the Illinois Department of State Police in accordance with the provisions of this Section, provided such person is:

(1) convicted of a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1989, and sentenced to a term of imprisonment, periodic imprisonment, fine, probation, conditional discharge or any other form of sentence, or given a disposition of court supervision for the offense, or

(1.5) found guilty or given supervision under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 for a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1996, or

(2) ordered institutionalized as a sexually dangerous person on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1989, or

(3) convicted of a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense before the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1989 and is presently confined as a result of such conviction in any State correctional facility or county jail or is presently serving a sentence of probation, conditional discharge or periodic imprisonment as a result of such conviction, or

(4) presently institutionalized as a sexually dangerous person or presently institutionalized as a person found guilty but mentally ill of a sexual offense or attempt to commit a sexual offense; or

(4.5) ordered committed as a sexually violent person on or after the effective date of the Sexually Violent Persons Commitment Act; or

(5) seeking transfer to or residency in Illinois under Sections 3-3-11 through 3-3-11.5 of the Unified Code of Corrections (Interstate Compact for the Supervision of Parolees and Probationers) or the Interstate Agreements on Sexually Dangerous Persons Act.

[Feb. 22, 2002]



(a-5) Any person who was otherwise convicted of or received a disposition of court supervision for any other offense under the Criminal Code of 1961 or any offense classified as a felony under Illinois law or who was found guilty or given supervision for such a violation under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987, may, regardless of the sentence imposed, be required by an order of the court to submit specimens of blood to the Illinois Department of State Police in accordance with the provisions of this Section.

(b) Any person required by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(1.5), (a)(2), and (a-5) to provide specimens of blood shall provide specimens of blood within 45 days after sentencing or disposition at a collection site designated by the Illinois Department of State Police.

(c) Any person required by paragraphs (a)(3), (a)(4), and (a)(4.5) to provide specimens of blood shall be required to provide such samples prior to final discharge, parole, or release at a collection site designated by the Illinois Department of State Police.

(c-5) Any person required by paragraph (a)(5) to provide specimens of blood shall, where feasible, be required to provide the specimens before being accepted for conditioned residency in Illinois under the interstate compact or agreement, but no later than 45 days after arrival in this State.

(d) The Illinois Department of State Police shall provide all equipment and instructions necessary for the collection of blood samples. The collection of samples shall be performed in a medically approved manner. Only a physician authorized to practice medicine, a registered nurse or other qualified person trained in venipuncture may withdraw blood for the purposes of this Act. The samples shall thereafter be forwarded to the Illinois Department of State Police, Division of Forensic Services, for analysis and categorizing into genetic marker groupings.

(e) The genetic marker groupings shall be maintained by the Illinois Department of State Police, Division of Forensic Services.

(f) The genetic marker grouping analysis information obtained pursuant to this Act shall be confidential and shall be released only to peace officers of the United States, of other states or territories, of the insular possessions of the United States, of foreign countries duly authorized to receive the same, to all peace officers of the State of Illinois and to all prosecutorial agencies. Notwithstanding any other statutory provision to the contrary, all information obtained under this Section shall be maintained in a single State data base, which may be uploaded into a national database, and may not be subject to expungement.

(g) For the purposes of this Section, "qualifying offense" means any of the following:

(1) Any violation or inchoate violation of Section 11-6, 11-9.1, 11-11, 11-15.1, 11-17.1, 11-18.1, 11-19.1, 11-19.2, 11-20.1, 12-13, 12-14, 12-14.1, 12-15, 12-16, or 12-33 of the Criminal Code of 1961, or

(1.1) Any violation or inchoate violation of Section 9-1, 9-2, 10-1, 10-2, 12-11, 12-11.1, 18-1, 18-2, 18-3, 18-4, 19-1, or 19-2 of the Criminal Code of 1961 for which persons are convicted on or after July 1, 2001, or

(2) Any former statute of this State which defined a felony sexual offense, or

(3) Any violation of paragraph (10) of subsection (b) of Section 10-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961 when the sentencing court, upon a motion by the State's Attorney or Attorney General, makes a finding that the child luring involved an intent to commit sexual penetration or sexual conduct as defined in Section

[Feb. 22, 2002]

12-12 of the Criminal Code of 1961, or

(4) Any violation or inchoate violation of Section 9-3.1, 11-9.3, 12-3.3, 12-4.2, 12-4.3, 12-7.3, 12-7.4, 18-5, 19-3, 20-1.1, or 20.5-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961, or

(5) Any violation or inchoate violation of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961.

(g-5) The Department of State Police is not required to provide equipment to collect or to accept or process blood specimens from individuals convicted of any offense listed in paragraph (1.1) or (4) of subsection (g), until acquisition of the resources necessary to process such blood specimens, or in the case of paragraph (1.1) of subsection (g) until July 1, 2003, whichever is earlier.

Upon acquisition of necessary resources, including an appropriation for the purpose of implementing this amendatory Act of the 91st General Assembly, but in the case of paragraph (1.1) of subsection (g) no later than July 1, 2003, the Department of State Police shall notify the Department of Corrections, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, and any other entity deemed appropriate by the Department of State Police, to begin blood specimen collection from individuals convicted of offenses enumerated in paragraphs (1.1) and (4) of subsection (g) that the Department is prepared to provide collection equipment and receive and process blood specimens from individuals convicted of offenses enumerated in paragraph (1.1) of subsection (g).

Until the Department of State Police provides notification, designated collection agencies are not required to collect blood specimen from individuals convicted of offenses enumerated in paragraphs (1.1) and (4) of subsection (g).

(h) The Illinois Department of State Police shall be the State central repository for all genetic marker grouping analysis information obtained pursuant to this Act. The Illinois Department of State Police may promulgate rules for the form and manner of the collection of blood samples and other procedures for the operation of this Act. The provisions of the Administrative Review Law shall apply to all actions taken under the rules so promulgated.

(i) A person required to provide a blood specimen shall cooperate with the collection of the specimen and any deliberate act by that person intended to impede, delay or stop the collection of the blood specimen is a Class A misdemeanor.

(j) Any person required by subsection (a) to submit specimens of blood to the Illinois Department of State Police for analysis and categorization into genetic marker grouping, in addition to any other disposition, penalty, or fine imposed, shall pay an analysis fee of \$500. Upon verified petition of the person, the court may suspend payment of all or part of the fee if it finds that the person does not have the ability to pay the fee.

(k) All analysis and categorization fees provided for by subsection (j) shall be regulated as follows:

(1) The State Offender DNA Identification System Fund is hereby created as a special fund in the State Treasury.

(2) All fees shall be collected by the clerk of the court and forwarded to the State Offender DNA Identification System Fund for deposit. The clerk of the circuit court may retain the amount of \$10 from each collected analysis fee to offset administrative costs incurred in carrying out the clerk's responsibilities under this Section.

(3) Fees deposited into the State Offender DNA Identification System Fund shall be used by Illinois State Police crime laboratories as designated by the Director of State Police. These funds shall be in addition to any allocations made pursuant

[Feb. 22, 2002]

to existing laws and shall be designated for the exclusive use of State crime laboratories. These uses may include, but are not limited to, the following:

(A) Costs incurred in providing analysis and genetic marker categorization as required by subsection (d).

(B) Costs incurred in maintaining genetic marker groupings as required by subsection (e).

(C) Costs incurred in the purchase and maintenance of equipment for use in performing analyses.

(D) Costs incurred in continuing research and development of new techniques for analysis and genetic marker categorization.

(E) Costs incurred in continuing education, training, and professional development of forensic scientists regularly employed by these laboratories.

(1) The failure of a person to provide a specimen, or of any person or agency to collect a specimen, within the 45 day period shall in no way alter the obligation of the person to submit such specimen, or the authority of the Illinois Department of State Police or persons designated by the Department to collect the specimen, or the authority of the Illinois Department of State Police to accept, analyze and maintain the specimen or to maintain or upload results of genetic marker grouping analysis information into a State or national database.

(Source: P.A. 91-528, eff. 1-1-00; 92-16, eff. 6-28-01; 92-40, eff. 6-29-01.)

Section 30. The Charitable Trust Act is amended by adding Section 16.5 as follows:

(760 ILCS 55/16.5 new)

Sec. 16.5. Terrorist acts.

(a) Any person or organization subject to registration under this Act, who knowingly acts to further, directly or indirectly, or knowingly uses charitable assets to conduct or further, directly or indirectly, an act or actions as set forth in Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, is thereby engaged in an act or actions contrary to public policy and antithetical to charity, and all of the funds, assets, and records of the person or organization shall be subject to temporary and permanent injunction from use or expenditure and the appointment of a temporary and permanent receiver to take possession of all of the assets and related records.

(b) An ex parte action may be commenced by the Attorney General, and, upon a showing of probable cause of a violation of this Section or Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961, an immediate seizure of books and records by the Attorney General by and through his or her assistants or investigators or the Department of State Police and freezing of all assets shall be made by order of a court to protect the public, protect the assets, and allow a full review of the records.

(c) Upon a finding by a court after a hearing that a person or organization has acted or is in violation of this Section, the person or organization shall be permanently enjoined from soliciting funds from the public, holding charitable funds, or acting as a trustee or fiduciary within Illinois. Upon a finding of violation all assets and funds held by the person or organization shall be forfeited to the People of the State of Illinois or otherwise ordered by the court to be accounted for and marshaled and then delivered to charitable causes and uses within the State of Illinois by court order.

(d) A determination under this Section may be made by any court separate and apart from any criminal proceedings and the standard of proof shall be that for civil proceedings.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

(e) Any knowing use of charitable assets to conduct or further, directly or indirectly, an act or actions set forth in Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961 shall be a misuse of charitable assets and breach of fiduciary duty relative to all other Sections of this Act.

Section 40. The Code of Civil Procedure is amended by changing Section 8-802 as follows:

(735 ILCS 5/8-802) (from Ch. 110, par. 8-802)

(Text of Section WITHOUT the changes made by P.A. 89-7, which has been held unconstitutional)

Sec. 8-802. Physician and patient. No physician or surgeon shall be permitted to disclose any information he or she may have acquired in attending any patient in a professional character, necessary to enable him or her professionally to serve the patient, except only (1) in trials for homicide when the disclosure relates directly to the fact or immediate circumstances of the homicide, (2) in actions, civil or criminal, against the physician for malpractice, (3) with the expressed consent of the patient, or in case of his or her death or disability, of his or her personal representative or other person authorized to sue for personal injury or of the beneficiary of an insurance policy on his or her life, health, or physical condition, (4) in all actions brought by or against the patient, his or her personal representative, a beneficiary under a policy of insurance, or the executor or administrator of his or her estate wherein the patient's physical or mental condition is an issue, (5) upon an issue as to the validity of a document as a will of the patient, (6) in any criminal action where the charge is either first degree murder by abortion, attempted abortion or abortion, (7) in actions, civil or criminal, arising from the filing of a report in compliance with the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act, (8) to any department, agency, institution or facility which has custody of the patient pursuant to State statute or any court order of commitment, (9) in prosecutions where written results of blood alcohol tests are admissible pursuant to Section 11-501.4 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, ~~or~~ (10) in prosecutions where written results of blood alcohol tests are admissible under Section 5-11a of the Boat Registration and Safety Act, or (11) in criminal actions arising from the filing of a report of suspected terrorist offense in compliance with Section 29D-10(p)(7) of the Criminal Code of 1961.

In the event of a conflict between the application of this Section and the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Confidentiality Act to a specific situation, the provisions of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Confidentiality Act shall control.

(Source: P.A. 87-803.)

(720 ILCS 5/Article 29C rep.)

Section 95. The Criminal Code of 1961 is amended by repealing Article 29C.

Section 96. The provisions of this Act are severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on Statutes.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law."

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted, and ordered printed.

And House Bill No. 2058, as amended, was returned to the order of third reading.

READING A BILL FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A THIRD TIME

[Feb. 22, 2002]

On motion of Senator Roskam, House Bill No. 2058 having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote: Yeas 49; Nays 2; Present 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Bomke  
 Burzynski  
 Clayborne  
 Cronin  
 Cullerton  
 del Valle  
 Demuzio  
 Dillard  
 Donahue  
 Dudycz  
 Geo-Karis  
 Halvorson  
 Hawkinson  
 Jacobs  
 Jones, W.  
 Karpiel  
 Klemm  
 Lauzen  
 Lightford  
 Link  
 Luechtefeld  
 Madigan  
 Mahar  
 Munoz  
 Myers  
 Noland  
 Obama  
 O'Daniel  
 O'Malley  
 Parker  
 Peterson  
 Petka  
 Radogno  
 Rauschenberger  
 Ronen  
 Roskam  
 Shadid  
 Sieben  
 Silverstein  
 Stone  
 Sullivan  
 Syverson  
 Walsh, L.  
 Walsh, T.  
 Watson  
 Weaver  
 Welch  
 Woolard  
 Mr. President

The following voted in the negative:

[Feb. 22, 2002]

Jones, E.  
Smith

The following voted present:

Hendon  
Shaw

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

#### RESOLUTIONS CONSENT CALENDAR

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 320

Offered by Senator Demuzio and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Donald E. Redpath, Sr., of Springfield.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 321

Offered by Senator Lauzen and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Joseph G. Turek of St. Charles.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 322

Offered by Senator Lauzen and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Huldah W. Schiedler of Batavia.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 323

Offered by Senator Link and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Ralph W. Swank of Lindenhurst.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 324

Offered by Senator Noland and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of James W. Thomas of Decatur.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 325

Offered by Senator Link and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Dominic "Donny" Anthony Iovino, formerly of Highland Park.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 326

Offered by Senator Demuzio and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of James J. Moody of Springfield.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 331

Offered by Senator Dillard and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Rudolph "Rudy" Klena, formerly of Darien.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 332

Offered by Senator Dillard and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Joseph Charles Vinopal, formerly of Downers Grove.

##### SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 333

Offered by Senator Lauzen and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Wayne K. Nelson of North Aurora.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

## SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 334

Offered by Senator Lauzen and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Richard "Dick" O'Connor of Naperville.

## SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 335

Offered by Senator Roskam and all Senators:  
Mourns the death of Margaret Estella Coombs, formerly of Winfield.

Senator Dudycz moved the adoption of the foregoing resolutions.  
The motion prevailed.  
And the resolutions were adopted.

## PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senator Jacobs offered the following Senate Joint Resolution, which was ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules:

## SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 54

## CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of this resolution a proposition to amend Section 3 of Article IX of the Illinois Constitution as follows:

ARTICLE IX  
REVENUE

(ILCON Art. IX, Sec. 3)

## SECTION 3. LIMITATIONS ON INCOME TAXATION

(a) A tax on or measured by income shall be at a non-graduated rate. At any one time there may be no more than one such tax imposed by the State for State purposes on individuals and one such tax so imposed on corporations. In any such tax imposed upon corporations the rate shall not exceed the rate imposed on individuals by more than a ratio of 8 to 5.

(b) Laws imposing taxes on or measured by income may adopt by reference provisions of the laws and regulations of the United States, as they then exist or thereafter may be changed, for the purpose of arriving at the amount of income upon which the tax is imposed.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution, in the absence of a reciprocal agreement between Illinois and the state of residence of a nonresident, Illinois may impose on nonresidents, for compensation paid in this State, a surcharge on or measured by income. The surcharge shall be at a rate equal to the difference between the rate of the tax on or measured by income imposed by this State and the rate of the tax on or measured by income imposed by the state of residence of the nonresident. The surcharge shall be imposed only on nonresidents whose state of residence imposes a tax on or measured by income at a rate that is higher than the rate imposed by Illinois.

(Source: Illinois Constitution.)

## SCHEDULE

This Constitutional Amendment takes effect upon being declared adopted in accordance with Section 7 of the Illinois Constitutional Amendment Act.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

Senator Weaver offered the following Senate Joint Resolution and, having asked and obtained unanimous consent to suspend the rules for its immediate consideration, moved its adoption:

**SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 55**

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, February 22, 2002, the Senate stands adjourned until Tuesday, February 26, 2002, at 12:00 o'clock noon; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, February 27, 2002; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Thursday, February 28, 2002; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until March 5, 2002; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until March 6, 2002; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until March 7, 2002; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, March 20, 2002; and the House of Representatives stands adjourned until Wednesday, February 27, 2002, in perfunctory session; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, March 5, 2002, in perfunctory session; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, March 13, 2002, in perfunctory session; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Monday, March 18, 2002, in perfunctory session; and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Wednesday, March 20, 2002, at 1:00 o'clock p.m.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof, and ask their concurrence therein.

**MOTION IN WRITING**

Senator Donahue submitted the following Motion in Writing:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 3247 in manner and form as follows:

**AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 3247**

**IN ACCEPTANCE OF GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATIONS**

Amend House Bill 3247 on page 74, immediately below line 30, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 77, immediately below line 32, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 79, line 2, by replacing "100" with "10"; and

on page 79, line 3, by replacing "east" with "west"; and

on page 79, immediately below line 4, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 80, immediately below line 4, by inserting the following:

[Feb. 22, 2002]



"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 83, immediately below line 23, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 84, immediately below line 26, by inserting the following:

"Language establishing a reverter without further action to the State of Illinois should the land be used for purposes other than public purposes shall be placed in the quitclaim deed conveying said property."; and

on page 104, by deleting lines 28 through 32; and

on page 105, by deleting lines 1 through 21.

Date: February 22, 2002

Laura Kent Donahue  
Senator

The foregoing Motion in Writing was filed with the Secretary and placed on the Senate Calendar.

#### INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

SENATE BILL NO. 2329. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2330. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2331. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2332. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2333. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2334. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2335. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

SENATE BILL NO. 2336. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2337. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2338. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2339. Introduced by Senators Weaver - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT to amend the General Obligation Bond Act.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2340. Introduced by Senators Weaver - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT to amend the Build Illinois Bond Act.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2341. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2342. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2343. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2344. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2345. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2346. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2347. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered

[Feb. 22, 2002]

printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2348. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2349. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2350. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2351. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2352. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2353. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2354. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2355. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2356. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2357. Introduced by Senators Weaver - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2358. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2359. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2360. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2361. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2362. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2363. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations to the Auditor General.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2364. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2365. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2366. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2367. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT concerning appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2368. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2369. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2370. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2371. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

[Feb. 22, 2002]

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2372. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations for the Office of the State Appellate Defender.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2373. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2374. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2375. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2376. Introduced by Senators Maitland - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2377. Introduced by Senators Watson - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations to the Illinois Student Assistance Commission.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2378. Introduced by Senator Donahue, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2379. Introduced by Senators Weaver - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2380. Introduced by Senators Myers - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2381. Introduced by Senator Donahue, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2382. Introduced by Senators Mahar - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered

[Feb. 22, 2002]

printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2383. Introduced by Senator Weaver, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2384. Introduced by Senator Weaver, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2385. Introduced by Senators Dudycz - Rauschenberger - Silverstein, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2386. Introduced by Senators Weaver - Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2387. Introduced by Senator Burzynski, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2388. Introduced by Senators Luechtefeld - Bowles - Bomke, a bill for AN ACT making appropriations and reappropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2389. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2390. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2391. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2392. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2393. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2394. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a

[Feb. 22, 2002]

bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2395. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2396. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2397. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2398. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2399. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2400. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2401. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2402. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2403. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2404. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2405. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2406. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a

[Feb. 22, 2002]

bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2407. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2408. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2409. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2410. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2411. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2412. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2413. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

SENATE BILL NO. 2414. Introduced by Senator Rauschenberger, a bill for AN ACT regarding appropriations.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Rules.

#### READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A FIRST TIME

House Bill No. 1442, sponsored by Senators Roskam - Philip was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 1448, sponsored by Senators Roskam - Philip was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

House Bill No. 3710, sponsored by Senator Jacobs was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Rules.

[Feb. 22, 2002]



## PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION

Senator Weaver offered the following Senate Joint Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Rules:

## SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 56

WHEREAS, The State College Housing Construction Act limits the authority of the governing boards of State colleges and universities to construct or operate, directly or indirectly through any other public or private organization, any new housing project without the prior approval and determination of the General Assembly that the specific project is in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly and the cities of Champaign and Urbana have encouraged the University of Illinois to expand its role in economic development and its efforts to work with appropriate State and private agencies, local community leaders, and others interested in economic development; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly finds that the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois is selecting a developer through a competitive process to develop a commercial district on the east side of campus that would contain private apartment-style housing and has selected a different developer, after a competitive process, to develop a research park that could include a hotel-conference center, both of which meet this economic development interest; and

WHEREAS, These facilities would serve the community, promote economic development, and provide other benefits to the East-Central Illinois area; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that we approve and determine to be in the public interest, pursuant to the State College Housing Construction Act, projects on land owned by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois and leased for the purpose of construction, maintenance, and operation of a residential housing complex and a hotel and conference facility by a private firm, partnership, or corporation selected through a competitive process, under such terms and conditions as the Board of Trustees may deem advisable; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be presented to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois.

At the hour of 10:12 o'clock a.m., pursuant to Senate Joint Resolution No. 55, the Chair announced the Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday, February 26, 2002 at 12:00 o'clock noon.

[Feb. 22, 2002]